

California Department of Food and Agriculture

TITLE: 2014 State Water Efficiency and Enhancement Program (Round 2) Technical Review Scoring Criteria

Instructions

Please use the scoring criteria outlined below to evaluate the 2014 State Water Efficiency and Enhancement Program Proposals for the second solicitation. As appropriate, scoring for each review question should use a holistic approach taking all relevant factors into consideration. Provide an explanation that supports your response and overall score. Use only whole numbers when scoring each question.

Question 1: Score this application in terms of being successful, 0 being not successful and 10 being very successful. Please follow the matrix below in assigning a value.

0: Overall project will result in neither water savings nor GHG emission reductions

1-3: Overall project has potential, but needs refinement

4-5: Project and design are practical and may result in water savings AND GHG emissions reductions.

6-7: Project and design are practical and will result in water savings AND GHG emissions reductions,

8-10: For any project scoring a 6 or 7, assign one additional point for each of the following considerations that apply:

1. Environmental co-benefits
2. Benefits to a disadvantaged community
3. Cash Match and/or In-Kind Contribution are provided

For example, a practical design resulting in water savings and GHG emissions reductions that also has environmental co-benefits, benefits to a disadvantaged community, and matching funds will score a 10.

Reviewer Score: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Comments: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Question 2: What are the benefits of this project (summary) in terms of water savings and GHG reductions? Provide explanation.

Comments: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Question 3: Are there any limitations that should be addressed? Provide explanation.

Comments: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Question 4: Are the projected water savings and GHG reductions reasonable under the criteria that have been selected?

Comments: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Question 5: Does the calculation documentation support baseline water use and GHG emissions calculations? Provide explanation:

Comments: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Question 6: During your review, if you calculated potential water savings and GHG reductions include calculations below:

Comments: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Question 7: Are the project cost estimates consistent with NRCS payment schedules and with what is being proposed? Provide explanation:

Comments: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Question 8: Is the project design consistent with achieving the water savings and GHG reductions as described in the application?

Comments: [Click here to enter text.](#)

Question 9: Overall, should California Department of Food and Agriculture fund this project?

Provide an explanation that supports your response and overall score. If funding is recommended contingent on changes, please describe the recommended changes. Be as specific as possible.

Comments: [Click here to enter text.](#)
