

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE  
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL FARMING AND INNOVATION

**BIOLOGICALLY INTEGRATED FARMING SYSTEMS**  
**REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP)**

Release date: June 17, 2024

**Grant Proposals Due Date:** July 26, 2024

CDFA does not accept late grant proposals

<https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/oefi/opca/bifs.html>

## Contents

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| Contents.....   | 2         |
| <b>About the program.....</b>   | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>Project Priorities.....</b>  | <b>4</b>  |
| Farming System.....   | 4         |
| Outreach Efforts and Management Team.....                             | 5         |
| <b>Funding and Grant Term .....</b>                                   | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>How to Submit a Grant Proposal .....</b>                           | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>Award Notification.....</b>  | <b>7</b>  |
| Disqualifications.....  | 7         |
| Appeal .....  | 7         |
| <b>Grant Proposal Requirements .....</b>                              | <b>7</b>  |
| Allowable and non-allowable costs .....                               | 8         |
| Executive Order N-6-22 – Russia Sanctions .....                       | 8         |
| Indirect costs.....   | 8         |
| Full Proposal outline (concept proposal sections shown in black)..... | 9         |
| A. APPLICANT INFORMATION .....  | 9         |
| B. PROJECT INFORMATION: .....   | 9         |
| C. BUDGET .....   | 10        |
| D. APPENDICES (uploaded as single PDF file) .....                     | 11        |
| <b>Evaluation Criteria.....</b>                                       | <b>12</b> |

---

## About the program

---

The California Department of Food and Agriculture's (CDFA) Office of Pesticide Consultation and Analysis (OPCA) is now accepting applications for the Biologically Integrated Farming Systems (BIFS) Program. Funding for this solicitation comes from OPCA's allocation of the pesticide mill assessment. For the current request for proposals (RFP), CDFA will award up to \$1 million, and applicants may request the full amount. This program develops projects that demonstrate, refine, and outreach innovative, biologically integrated farming systems that reduce chemical pesticide inputs. This RFP has four priority areas (see Project Priorities below).

Proposals should advance the goals of [California's Sustainable Pest Management \(SPM\) Roadmap](#), including efforts to 1) enhance knowledge, research, and technical assistance; 2) align pest control advisors (PCAs) with SPM; and 3) reduce economic risk for growers transitioning to SPM.

The BIFS application process will occur in two stages: 1) concept proposal submission and 2) full proposal development. This RFP is to solicit concept proposals. After concept proposal review and scoring is complete, OPCA will select up to three applicants to develop full proposals, which includes a detailed workplan, budget, budget justification, and letters of support. We anticipate funding one proposal at the full \$1 million. Prior to full proposal development, OPCA scientists will meet with the selected applicants to discuss reviewer comments as part of a pre-project consultation.

### Background

The Biologically Integrated Farming System (BIFS) program initially ran from 1995 to 2010. Projects focused on fostering farmer-to-farmer information exchange and on-farm demonstration of integrated farming practices that promote IPM and biological systems while allowing growers to maintain profitable businesses. Originally a project of the Community Alliance with Family Farmers (CAFF), BIFS transitioned to a grant program administered by the University of California (UC) Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program (SAREP), based at UC Davis. The SAREP BIFS program funded twelve projects in eleven different farming systems. The adoption of BIFS practices was found to reduce pesticide use, improve soil fertility, decrease erosion and nitrogen leaching, and increase populations of beneficial insects, fishes, birds, and game.

In 2019, OPCA received funding to revitalize the BIFS program. Since this time, approximately \$5 million has been awarded to five projects in diverse cropping systems including walnut, grape, lettuce, and hemp. BIFS programs have included the use of areawide mating disruption, natural enemy releases, bio-pesticides, insectary plantings, cover crops, rogueing of infected plants, rapid diagnostics, and other SPM approaches.

## Project Priorities

---

The focus of this RFP is to help growers transition away from non-selective, biologically disruptive pesticides, especially those of high regulatory concern. The BIFS program supports the demonstration, refinement, and outreach of SPM-based farming systems that are economically viable and protective of human health and the environment. All aspects of the farming system may be considered as they relate to pest management, including factors such as adjacent landscapes, whether they are farmed or not.

**For the current solicitation, CDFA is prioritizing projects focusing on the following topic:**

- Dacthal (dimethyl tetrachloroterephthalate, DCPA) alternatives in *Brassica* and onion crops
- Neonicotinoid alternatives in tomato
- Lygus management in cotton
- Fumigant alternatives

Projects will typically include all the following elements:

1. On-farm demonstration/evaluation of an innovative, biologically based farming system that employs SPM strategies;
2. A collaborative outreach effort for sharing technical information about the farming system with growers, PCAs, commodity groups, and others engaged in pest management, and;
3. An organized program for monitoring key biological and economic variables to inform on-farm decision making and evaluate project success.

### Farming System

Proposals should describe a farming system that integrates key technical elements such as biological and cultural control of pests, habitat management on-farm and at the landscape scale (including adjacent agricultural and non-agricultural land where applicable) to reduce pest problems, and reduced reliance on pesticides that pose greater risks to human health or the environment. Proposals should describe the inherent links between the components of the farming system to pest management where applicable (e.g., tillage practices, crop rotation, irrigation) and the larger landscape or watershed. One means of demonstrating the potential effectiveness of the alternative practices would involve a side-by-side comparison of the current versus alternative farming system with corresponding monitoring of key biological and economic variables. While a key component of the project should be outreaching established SPM based methods (field days, websites, social media, etc.), they can also have an experimental element.

## Outreach Efforts and Management Team

Proposed projects should utilize existing partnerships or build new partnerships that are voluntary and collaborative in nature. Outreach efforts should bring scientists, farmers, and consultants together in a collaborative, "co-learning" environment that enables farmers to learn and adapt integrated farming practices to local conditions. CDFA encourages project teams to provide outreach materials and workshops in languages other than English to ensure that all growers have access to project information. Industry partners can participate by providing matching funds, infrastructure for project support activities, and/or cost sharing rebates for farming system inputs such as cover crop seeds, beneficial organisms (arthropods and micro-organisms), insectary shrubs and trees, insect traps, laboratory services, and/or farm implements. CDFA encourages, but does not require, matching funds from industry partners.

Proposals should describe a management team of persons experienced in the farming system, that will be responsible for tasks such as hands-on field days, monitoring of key biological and economic parameters, and written and online materials. The management team should include an array of knowledgeable individuals/organizations including but not limited to growers, extension specialists, farm advisors, grower representatives such as PCAs, and commodity boards. The role of the management team is to implement the proposed outreach program and to serve for its duration as resources for participating farmers.

## Funding and Grant Term

---

CDFA will select proposals based on the criteria presented below in the Evaluation Criteria section. Total funding available is \$1 million, and the maximum project budget is \$1 million. CDFA expects to fund one project. Projects should begin February 2025 and last for approximately four years.

Funding must supplement, not supplant, existing activities/programs. CDFA defines supplement as adding to existing funds to enhance or expand existing activities and supplant as replacing existing funds for an activity because grant funds are to fund the same activity.

CDFA reserves the right to offer an award different than the amount requested.

## Project Eligibility

Public or private colleges and universities, local, State, and federal government entities including tribal governments, non-profit organizations, and commodity groups are eligible to apply. The project lead(s) and their institutions must be based in California; out-of-state collaborators are allowed. California state agencies may not submit proposal applications but may be subcontractors on other proposals. A state agency's share of funding may not exceed 30% of total funding. State agencies may not take the lead in project management.

## How to Submit a Grant Proposal

---

### Submit grant proposals via the Amplifund application portal

(<https://www.gotomygrants.com/Public/Opportunities/Details/a4af9997-64f8-4e14-a434-16f503df0ae1>) no later than the grant due date at 5 pm. Applicants must first create an account through Amplifund, login, and fill out all required sections of the online application form.

*CDFA does not accept late submissions.*

CDFA cannot assist in the preparation of concept proposals; however, applicants may submit general questions to [cdfa.opca@cdfa.ca.gov](mailto:cdfa.opca@cdfa.ca.gov). In order to ensure all potential applicants benefit from all submitted questions and answers, CDFA will post all questions and responses on the BIFS webpage (<https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/oefi/opca/bifs.html>) within five business days of submission. CDFA will not accept questions within five business days of the application deadline.

### Proposal Review and Evaluation

A committee consisting of scientists and specialists at CDFA and other State agencies, California universities, non-governmental environmental organizations, and/or grower representatives will review the merits of the proposals and provide feedback for concept proposals and full proposals. Any member of the committee connected to a submitted project will recuse themselves from the process. The evaluation criteria are found at the end of this document. CDFA will make final funding decisions.

| Activity                           | Date                           |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| RFP release                        | June 17, 2024                  |
| Concept proposal submission        | July 26, 2024                  |
| Concept proposal decision          | August 9, 2024                 |
| Pre-project consultation with OPCA | August 12 – August 23, 2024    |
| Full proposal development          | August 24 – September 23, 2024 |
| Final funding decision             | October 1, 2024                |
| Project start date                 | February 1, 2025               |

## Award Notification

---

CDFA will notify all applicants regarding the status of their concept proposal and full proposal (if applicable) and provide comments. Successful applicants will complete a grant agreement following the award announcement. Grant recipients may not begin project activities until both parties have executed the grant agreement. This program requires recipients to submit annual reports and a final report to demonstrate project accomplishments, address problems and delays, and describe activities planned during the next reporting period. This program requires quarterly invoices and may consider more frequent invoices on a case-by-case basis.

### Disqualifications

The following will result in the disqualification of a grant proposal:

- Incomplete grant proposals, including grant proposals with one or more unanswered questions and/or missing, blank, unreadable, corrupt, or otherwise unusable attachments
- Applicant is not an eligible entity
- Grant proposals requesting more than the maximum award amount
- Grant proposals with unallowable costs or activities necessary to complete the project objectives
- Grants with only out-of-state project leads
- Proposed activities with dates outside the allowable grant duration
- Applications submitted after submission period has ended

### Appeal

Applicants may appeal any disqualification taken by OPCA during the administrative review for the preceding reasons to CDFA's Office of Hearings and Appeals within 10 calendar days of receiving a notice of disqualification from CDFA. The appeal must be in writing and signed by the responsible party name on the grant application or his/her authorized agent. It must state the grounds for the appeal and include any supporting documents and a copy of the OEFI decision being challenged. The submissions must be sent to the California Department of Food and Agriculture, Office of Hearings and Appeals, 1220 N Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 or emailed to [CDFA.LegalOffice@cdfa.ca.gov](mailto:CDFA.LegalOffice@cdfa.ca.gov). Submissions received outside of this timeframe will be denied.

## Grant Proposal Requirements

---

To submit a concept proposal applicants must complete and submit all required sections of the online application form here:

<https://www.gotomygrants.com/Public/Opportunities/Details/a4af9997-64f8-4e14-a434-16f503df0ae1>

The concept proposal consists of Sections A and B1-4 of the full proposal (see below). Applicants will only complete the remaining sections of the full proposal if CDFA selects the concept proposal.

**Although the concept proposal does not require detailed budget information, the following policies will apply to all projects, regardless of applicant affiliation.**

### Allowable and non-allowable costs

A cost is allowable if it directly relates to the project and is incurred solely to advance work under the Grant Agreement. Allowable costs include, but are not limited to, salaries and wages, release time (California State University researchers), indirect costs, fringe benefits, consultant services, travel, telephone, equipment (lease/rental), subcontractors and materials, data processing, land rentals, training and communications. Non-allowable expenses include but are not limited to costs for hospitality suites, alcoholic beverages, costs of entertainment, and costs for organized fund raising including financial campaigns and solicitation of gifts. CDFA does not reimburse non-allowable costs.

More information about allowable and unable items of cost can be found here:

[https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/Regulations/General/FinalSelectedItemsofCost\\_Guidance.pdf](https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/Regulations/General/FinalSelectedItemsofCost_Guidance.pdf)

### Executive Order N-6-22 – Russia Sanctions

On March 4, 2022, Governor Gavin Newsom issued Executive Order N-6-22 regarding Economic Sanctions against Russia and Russian entities and individuals. “Economic Sanctions” refers to sanctions imposed by the U.S. government in response to Russia’s actions in Ukraine, as well as any sanctions imposed under state law. By submitting a proposal or application, Applicant represents that it is not a target of Economic Sanctions. Should the State determine Applicant is a target of Economic Sanctions or is conducting prohibited transactions with sanctioned individuals or entities, that shall be grounds for rejection of the Applicant’s proposal/application any time prior to agreement execution, or, if determined after agreement execution, shall be grounds for termination by the State.

### Indirect costs

Indirect costs are facilities and administrative costs that cannot easily be tied directly to the activities of the grant. Examples of common indirect costs include administrative/clerical services, rent, utilities, internet and telephone service, maintenance, and general office supplies. **Because this solicitation is Agricultural Industry-Funded, this RFP restricts all applicants including UC and CSU to a maximum indirect cost rate of 10%.** Applicants should



calculate indirect costs as 10% of the modified total direct costs (MTDC) and MTDC as all total direct costs minus excluded costs such as tuition and equipment in excess of \$5,000. Subawards are also subject to the 10% indirect cost cap and may not be included in the MTDC calculation for the full project budget. See OPCA's Indirect Cost Policy document for more information: [https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/oefi/opca/docs/opca\\_indirect\\_costs\\_policies.pdf](https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/oefi/opca/docs/opca_indirect_costs_policies.pdf)

## Full Proposal outline (concept proposal sections shown in black)

### A. APPLICANT INFORMATION

#### 1. Project Leader(s)

Specify each project leader's name, title, affiliation, mailing address, telephone number, and email address on the online application form. Upload a curriculum vitae, a list of recent publications (if applicable), and a description of current research/outreach activities for each project leader in Section D: Appendices.

#### 2. Management Team

Specify each management team member's name, title, affiliation, mailing address, telephone number, and email address. Include commodity boards/growers/grower groups providing funding or in-kind support here.

#### 3. Other Cooperators

Specify organizations and/or individuals that support the ideas and objectives of the project but are not providing funding.

### B. PROJECT INFORMATION:

#### 1. Project Title

Provide a unique and concise title for the proposed project that adequately describes the project.

#### 2. Project Summary. Concisely describe the project, including project objectives.

#### 3. Dollar amount requested. Proposed project activities and objectives must be achievable based on requested amount. Significant changes to the Scope of Work during the full proposal development stage may result in the rejection of the proposal.

#### 4. Project description and work plan

- 4.1 Provide a brief overview of the cropping system(s), target pest(s), and conventional management strategies currently used.
- 4.2 Describe the alternative BIFS farming system to be demonstrated, potential environmental and economic benefits, and project objectives.

- 4.3 Discuss briefly how information exchange and outreach will occur in the project. Describe the kind of outreach efforts to be used and why this approach will be the most effective.
- 4.4 Discuss briefly how the project will be evaluated; what kinds of monitoring, surveys, and/or data evaluation might be employed; and why these are the most effective methods to assess project success.

**5. Project Timeline (for the length of the proposed project)**

- 5.1 Specify project start date, tentative management team meeting dates, in-season data collection schedule, proposed field day dates and topics, and other milestones and metrics as applicable.

**C. BUDGET**

Complete the budget table. An Excel version of the budget table can be found on the BIFS webpage: <https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/oefi/opca/bifs.html>.

If there is a subaward, complete a budget table for the subaward as well. Costs that are not personnel, operating expenses, or subawards should be listed individually under other direct costs.

Provide a detailed narrative of your proposed budget broken into years 1, 2, 3 and 4 (if applicable). The budget should contain a narrative in paragraph format for each budget category to justify whether costs are reasonable and allowable. The Allowable and Unallowable Costs section above defines allowable and unallowable costs. Assume the start date listed on the timeline (<https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/oefi/opca/bifs.html>) and explain all of the following:

**1. Personnel.** Provide classification level, percent of time based on full time salary/wages, benefits, employment period, and name of individual to be hired, if available.

**2. Operating Expenses.** Itemize and justify all of the following operating expenses:

A. Supplies: Itemize and justify all supplies to be purchased. Supplies include all consumable materials with an acquisition cost less than \$5,000 per unit. Supplies must be used exclusively for the project. For each grant year, provide an itemized list of projected supply expenditures, the dollar amount for each item, and describe how it will support the purpose and goal of the project.

B. Travel: The maximum travel rates allowable are the rates in effect at the time of travel as established by the California Department of Human Resources (CalHR). For each grant year, itemize and indicate the following information, if applicable, for each trip: (a) destination; (b) purpose of trip; (c) number of trips; (d) identify travelers; (e) number of days traveling; (f) estimated airfare costs; (g) estimated ground

transportation costs; (h) estimated lodging and meals costs; and, (i) estimated mileage rate.

Exceptions: For federal entities, if domestic travel is a reimbursable expense, receipts must be maintained to support the claimed expenditures. The maximum rates allowable are those established by the Federal Travel Regulation, issue by General Services Administration (GSA), including the maximum per diem and subsistence rates prescribed in those regulations. Colleges and Universities must comply with their institution's travel policies.

C. Other Direct Costs: Identify and explain any additional expenses not covered by the above categories. Other expenses include, but are not limited to: conferences or meetings, communications, speaker/trainer fees, publication costs, data collection, and other budgeted costs associated with the project.

D. Indirect costs are facilities and administrative costs that cannot easily be tied directly to the activities of the grant. Examples of common indirect costs include administrative/clerical services, rent, utilities, internet and telephone service, maintenance, and general office supplies. Indirect costs cannot exceed 10% of MTDC.

**3. Other Funding Sources.** Indicate if any Federal, State or other grant program(s) are providing funding for this project. Identify the Federal, State agency or organization administering the program(s), and the amount(s) of funds requested/awarded.

D. APPENDICES (uploaded as single PDF file)

**1. Project Leader(s):** Include a two-page resume and list of recent publications if relevant to the project. Also include a description of current research/outreach activities; provide information on all current, planned, pending, and recent projects, whether or not there is a specific time commitment and how it will impact the proposed project.

**2. Management team:** CDFA advises applicants to include a letter of support from each research collaborator, including a description of their role in the project and statement of agreement to participate in the project.

**3. Collaborators:** CDFA advises applicants to include a letter from each supporter explaining the rationale for their support. Scanned copies of letters are acceptable if attached to the proposal at submission time.

**4. Literature Cited**

## Evaluation Criteria

---

All applications will be evaluated based on the criteria detailed below:

### **Proposal Quality (30 points)**

- **Project Summary:** Concisely defines the issue, describes the approach to be used, and identifies objectives and criteria that will be used to evaluate the project's success.
- **Work Plans and Methods:** Clearly explains project tasks and how they will be executed.
- **Project Management and Evaluation:** Provides metrics that will be used to evaluate project success.
- **Additional information:** includes information for project leaders, cooperators, and supporters.

### **Project Justification (30 points)**

- **Relevance to Project Priorities:** Clearly states how growers can reduce high risk pesticides in an economically feasible manner.
- **Justification:** Defines/describes the issue, particularly how the alternative farming system will maintain yields and quality, provide suitable pest management, and reduce chemical pesticide inputs in an economically effective manner. Describes how the alternative farming systems will be outreached to growers.

### **Project Team and Resources (15 points)**

#### *Team*

- Are the project leaders, management team and other cooperators well-suited to the project?
- Does the team have complementary and integrated expertise and the leadership approach/governance and organizational structure appropriate for the project?

#### *Resources*

- Are matching funds provided by industry partners?

### **Feasibility and Impact (25 points)**

- Project is manageable within proposed framework of budget, time and personnel.
- The overall strategy, work methodology, and analyses methods are well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the objectives of the project. Potential problems, alternative strategies and benchmarks for success (changes in pesticide usage, grower participation/adoption) are included.
- Does the project have clear strategy for outreach to interested farmers and agricultural consultants beyond the project duration?

**Total points: 100**