## CALIFORNIA CANNABIS APPELLATIONS OF ORIGIN

### **Petition Self Assessment Guide**

A petition must satisfy the following requirements for approval. Any question below that is answered "no" during the review, will result in a request of additional information to the petitioner. Relevant regulation sections from Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 3, Div. 8, Ch. 2. are cited in parenthesis after each subsection title.

#### A. REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Petitioner information [§ 9102(a)]
  - a. Is the information for the primary contact, and alternate if provided, valid?
- 2. Petitioning organization [§ 9102 (b)]
  - a. Are the petitioner and petitioning members active DCC licensees cultivating in the proposed appellation area?
- 3. General Description [§ 9102(c)]
  - a. Is the General description of the area adequate?
- 4. Legacy, history, reputation, and economic importance [§ 9102(h)]
  - a. Is the information provided on legacy, history, reputation, and economic importance of cannabis production adequate, and some evidence provided?
- 5. Evidence of Name Use (§ 9104)
  - a. Does the narrative thoroughly explain how the name has been used for the area and include evidence?
  - b. Is the evidence provided for the proposed name cross referenced in the petition, from an independent source, and shows direct association between the name and the area?
- 6. Maps and Boundary Description (§ 9105)
  - a. Are the maps provided appropriate scale for the petition?
  - b. Is the boundary description adequate?
- 7. Geographical features (§ 9106)
  - a. Is the narrative clear and identifies geographical features affecting cannabis?
  - b. Is evidence provided for all the geographical features and does their overlap result in a unique geographical area?
  - c. Is evidence provided showing the features (or combination of) are different outside the appellation.

# CALIFORNIA CANNABIS APPELLATIONS OF ORIGIN

### **Detailed Petition Requirements, Continued**

- 8. Standards, Practices, and Cultivars (§ 9106)
  - a. Do the proposed standards, practices and cultivars comply with the following rules?
    - i. Reasonable, logical, and not more than one meaning
    - ii. Not conflict other parts of petition
    - iii. Familiar terms to cultivators
    - iv. Proper grammar, punctuation, and spelling
    - v. Format is readily understandable by the public
    - vi. The requirements to qualify for use of the appellation are easily understandable
  - b. Is a measurable, scorable or certification standard included?
  - c. Is a practice included? (could be defining your appellation specific requirement for planting in the ground)
  - d. Are allowed or prohibited cultivars and any required evidence included (e.g., testing, specimen preservation, etc.)?
  - e. Are proposed recordkeeping specifications for all standards practices, and cultivars included and sufficient to determine compliance with those appellation-specific requirements?

#### **B. Potential name conflict**

In addition to meeting the regulatory requirements for approval, CDFA has a responsibility to consider the proposed appellation conflict with DCC labeling rules and existing use in the commercial cannabis marketplace.

- a. Does the proposed name for the appellation conform to DCC labelling rules?
- b. Have conflicts between the proposed appellation and existing use in the commercial cannabis market been assessed?

For detailed petition requirements, please refer to our website: www.cdfa.ca.gov/oars/cap