

**CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE (CDFA)
CA CITRUS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING (CCAC)
Teleconference
Meeting Minutes
February 26, 2008**

Members Present

Robert LoBue
David Roberts
Ken Chipchase
Doug Carman
James Sherwood
Etienne Rabe
Kevin Severns
Damon Corey
John Eliot

CDFA Representatives

Rick Jensen
Amadou Ba
Steve Patton
Andrew Valero
Brian Cote

Interested Parties

Scotti Walker, Fresno County
Thomas Nyberg, Fresno County
Jerry Prieto, Fresno County
Don Borges, Tulare County
Marilyn Kinoshita, Tulare County
Myron Kimmel, Kern County
Nancy Holland, Kern County
Ron Bray, Riverside County
Kerry Dufraim, Ventura County

ITEM 1: ROLL CALL

Mr. Kevin Severns called the meeting to order at 10:32 a.m. Roll was called and a quorum was established.

ITEM 2: APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

MOTION: Dr. Etienne Rabe moved to approve the October 30, 2007 minutes as submitted. Mr. Robert LoBue seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

Dr. Rabe discussed the authority of the CDFA Audit Office in regards to enforcement powers. Mr. Rick Jensen explained that there is no specific statute that authorizes audits. Dr. Rabe suggested that CCAC should be more explicit about their authority.

Mr. Steve Patton announced that a formal proposal defining standard shipping containers will be presented via PowerPoint at the subsequent CCAC meeting.

MOTION: Mr. LoBue moved to approve the December 18, 2007 minutes as submitted. Mr. Ken Chipchase seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

Copies of the October 30, 2007 and December 18, 2007 Meeting Minutes are attached as EXHIBIT A and EXHIBIT B, respectively.

ITEM 3: REGULATION UPDATE

Dr. Amadou Ba provided an update on the status of the proposed citrus assessment. On November 16, 2007, CDFA published a notice of proposed action concerning the regulation on the citrus assessment. Upon review, CDFA legal counsel determined that

CDFA should request an expedited review and the proposed regulation would not require a review from the Office of Administrative Law on the basis of Government Code Sec. 11340.9 (g). The proposed citrus assessment would be exempt from review as a rate change. The Office of Administrative Law senior staff and management met and determined that the assessment does not qualify as a rate, price, or tariff. The rate exemption does not apply in a situation wherein an agency collects money from the entities it regulates to pay for the costs of its work or services; in that situation the charge is considered a fee that is subject to OAL review. Consequently, resubmitting the regulation and trying to introduce it as an emergency regulation may not work.

Mr. Jensen explained that the regulation could be approved within 60 to 75 days; however, the regulation will provide the industry a 45-day comment period prior to the implementation of a fee increase.

ITEM 4: FREEZE INSPECTION STATUS REPORT - COUNTIES

Fresno, Tulare, Kern, Riverside, and Ventura counties provided maturity and freeze reports for the months of November 2007, December 2007, and January 2008. In addition, Tulare County curtailed inspections due to favorable results in the month of December. Kern County explained that there were not many problems with inspections this year.

Dr. Rabe asked the inspectors why there were not any rejections in December 2007. Dr. Rabe also discussed the feasibility of discretionary testing in the event of favorable results.

Copies of the Maturity/Freeze report for the months of November 2007, December 2007, and January 2008 are attached as EXHIBIT C, EXHIBIT D, and EXHIBIT E, respectively.

Copies of the 2007/2008 Citrus Contract and Expense Summary for the months of November 2007, December 2007, and January 2008 are attached as Exhibit F, Exhibit G, and Exhibit H, respectively.

ITEM 5: OTHER BUSINESS

Dr. Ba reported that San Bernardino County exceeded its budget by approximately \$1,269. According to Dr. Ba, there are two ways to remedy the situation, the County of San Bernardino can absorb the cost or amend its contract. Mr. Jensen explained that CDFA will contact San Bernardino County and resolve the discrepancy. Mr. Jensen

also explained that the late season freeze inspections that occurred in July and August were drawn from the 2007/08 fiscal year budget.

Dr. Rabe suggested that we should address the financial situation of the California Citrus Advisory Committee during the next meeting.

Mr. Jensen explained that CDFA needs to ensure that it is providing the flexibility to do enforcement. As CDFA monitors inspections, it can take steps to slow down sampling. Managing the budget and providing the flexibility to do inspections will continue to be a goal.

ITEM 6: NEXT MEETING

The next meeting will be held at the Tulare County Agricultural Commissioners' Office, 4437 S Laspina Street, Tulare, CA 93274 on April 15, 2008 at 10:00 a.m.

ITEM 7: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 11:19 a.m.

Respectfully submitted by:

Amadou Ba, Supervisor
Standardization Program
Inspection and Compliance Branch

AB/bc

**CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE (CDFA)
CA CITRUS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING (CCAC)
Tulare, CA
Meeting Minutes
October 30, 2007**

Members

Robert LoBue
David Roberts
James Sherwood
Etienne Rabe
Kevin Severns
Damon Corey
Brett Kirkpatrick
John Eliot

CDFA Representatives

Nate Dechoretz
Rick Jensen
Steve Patton
Andrew Valero
Jeff Cesca
Vic Tolmeo
Susan Shelton

Interested Parties

Scotti Walker, Fresno County
Thomas Nyberg, Fresno County
Don Borges, Tulare County
Rafael Garcia, Tulare County
Myron Kimmel, Kern County
Bob Blakely, CA Citrus Mutual

ITEM 1: ROLL CALL

Mr. Rick Jensen called the meeting to order at 11:07 a.m. Roll was called, and a quorum was established.

ITEM 2: APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

Chairman Brett Kirkpatrick asked that Item 2, Paragraph 3 of the September 18, 2007 meeting minutes be amended. The 2006-07 and 2007-08 expenditure amounts were reported incorrectly.

MOTION: Mr. Robert LoBue moved to accept the August 28, 2007 minutes as submitted, and the September 18, 2007 minutes as amended. Dr. Etienne Rabe seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

ITEM 3: NOMINATION/ELECTION OF NEW OFFICERS

MOTION: Dr. Rabe moved to re-appoint Mr. Kirkpatrick as Committee Chair, and Mr. Kevin Severns as Vice Chair. Mr. John Eliot seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

ITEM 4: FY 2007-2008 BUDGET APPROVAL

Mr. Jensen presented the fiscal year (FY) 2007-08 combined Citrus Program and Orange Crop Survey Program budget to the committee. Members were provided

handouts outlining the actual revenue and expenditures for FY 2006-07 and the proposed budget for FY 2007-08.

The committee discussed the proposed 2007-08 budget of \$712,353. At the current assessment level, revenues are projected to be \$636,941, which is inadequate to cover expenditures, or rebuild the reserve for freeze related inspections.

The meaning of "standard shipping container" was discussed. Since standard containers are used for assessment, the committee requested that one standard be set for assessment purposes. Mr. Kirkpatrick stated he would like to attend the Standardization Advisory Committee meeting on November 15, 2007 to discuss this issue with them. CCAC agreed the industry needs to set a clearly understood and defined packing size for assessment, especially as it relates to mandarin container size.

Mr. LoBue requested CDFA submit a cost estimate for expenses related to the completion of an assessment audit. The committee was interested to determine how the industry assesses themselves, specifically what weight they use as a standard container.

A lengthy discussion ensued regarding assessment levels, fair share contributions by commodity type, reserve needs, etc. Mr. LoBue asked what would happen during a citrus freeze if the reserve was not rebuilt. Mr. Jensen explained a program would be built around whatever funds were available. However, without the necessary reserve, funds may not be sufficient to provide all essential services which ensure freeze damaged fruit does not enter the market.

MOTION: Mr. David Roberts moved to approve the Citrus Program and Orange Crop Survey Program Budget of \$712,353. Mr. Severns seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

ITEM 5: COUNTY INVOICE APPROVAL

Mr. Steve Patton reported freeze work continued into the 2007-08 contract year. Expenditures for the July through September 2007 period for freeze work was \$54,375 for oranges, and \$1,596 for lemons. As discussed in previous meetings, CCAC has agreed to pay for this work from the current maturity contracts.

MOTION: Mr. Eliot moved to approve freeze work as submitted. Mr. LoBue seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

ITEM 6: INSPECTION STATUS REPORT - COUNTIES

Riverside County has almost completed freeze work, and has not yet started maturity inspections. Tulare and Kern Counties have each had five to six rejections on maturity.

ITEM 7: AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS UPDATE

Mr. Vic Tolomeo stated the acreage survey should begin in the next couple of months if it is to be ready for July 2008 publication. Mr. Jeff Cesca passed out a copy of Orange Crop and Ag Statistics budget for 2007-08 and 2008-09. The cost of this survey would be approximately \$120,000, and will be billed to the CDFA citrus program in 2008-09.

There was a general consensus from the committee that an acreage survey should be completed.

ITEM 8: ASSESSMENT CHANGE

A proposed regulation is underway to provide the Secretary authority to set assessments within statutory limits. Since the regulation is not in effect, the committee would like to raise assessments to the maximum allowable of 11 mills (\$0.011) per carton for navel oranges, 5 mills (\$0.005) per carton for lemons, and 6 mills (\$0.006) per carton for Valencia oranges and mandarin citrus. The Citrus Program is currently under-funded for normal activity, and cannot rebuild the freeze reserve.

MOTION: Mr. LoBue moved to increase mil assessments to the maximum allowable under statute. Mr. Severns seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

ITEM 9: OTHER BUSINESS

Dr. Rabe requested CDFA provide the committee with information regarding the differences between the Citrus Program and the Standardization Program; how they overlap, how they differ, clearly delineated responsibilities, etc. He also requested an outline of the roles and responsibilities of CCAC, and how they differ from the Standardization Advisory Committee.

During the discussion of the Citrus and Standardization Programs, Mr. Jensen explained most Citrus Program costs, except county contracts, have been paid by Standardization. Mr. Nate Dechoretz, Inspection Services Director, reported historically overhead has been based on personnel expenses. Since the Citrus Program has no direct personnel, Citrus has not had to pay overhead. However, overhead assessment may soon change to be based on actual program costs.

ITEM 10: NEXT MEETING

The next meeting will be a teleconference on December 18, 2007 at 11:00 a.m.

ITEM 11: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 1:11 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by:

Steve Patton, Supervisor
Standardization Program
Inspection and Compliance Branch

SP/ss

**CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE (CDFA)
CA CITRUS ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING (CCAC)
Teleconference
Meeting Minutes
December 18, 2007**

Members

Robert LoBue
James Sherwood
Damon Corey
Brett Kirkpatrick
John Eliot

CDFA Representatives

Rick Jensen
Amadou Ba
Steve Patton
Susan Shelton

Interested Parties

Scotti Walker, Fresno County
Thomas Nyberg, Fresno County
Don Borges, Tulare County
Rafael Garcia, Tulare County
Myron Kimmel, Kern County
Nancy Holland, Kern County
Ron Bray, Riverside County
Mert Price, Santa Clara County
Angela Godwin, San Bernardino Co.
Earl McPhail, Ventura County
Kerry Dufrain, Ventura County

ITEM 1: ROLL CALL

Mr. Rick Jensen called the meeting to order at 11:02 a.m. Roll was called, a quorum was not established. Committee members called from the following locations:

James Sherwood – Fresno County Agricultural Commissioner’s Office
Damon Corey – Kern County Agricultural Commissioner’s Office
Robert LoBue – Tulare Agricultural Commissioner’s Office
John Eliot and Brett Kirkpatrick – Ventura Ag. Commissioner’s Office

Mr. Jensen introduced Mr. Amadou Ba to the committee. Mr. Ba is the new supervisor for the Standardization, Citrus, and Certified Farmers’ Market Programs. He comes from the Pierce’s Disease Program at CDFA, where he worked extensively with counties on work plans for glassy wing sharpshooter eradication projects.

ITEM 2: APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

Action items held until next meeting.

ITEM 3: COUNTY INSPECTION REPORT

A copy of Citrus Contract and Expense Summary, and Maturity/Freeze Report are attached to these minutes and labeled as EXHIBIT A and B respectively.

As of November 2007, total county expenses for maturity and freeze approximate \$305,921. As in the past, the counties will be paid for this work, and all work to follow, after maturity season is over.

ITEM 4: CONTINUATION OF MATURITY TESTING

Except southern California, most counties are close to completing maturity testing. Those committee members present advised the counties to keep testing to a minimum.

ITEM 5: AUDIT COSTS

At the previous meeting, CCAC requested CDFA to submit a cost estimate for expenses related to the completion of an assessment audit. The committee was interested in determining how the industry assesses themselves, specifically what weight they use as a standard container.

Mr. Ba reported the CDFA audit office could provide this service. However, the audit office has no enforcement powers, so it would be a discretionary audit subject to participants willingness to provide needed documentation. An estimate of \$7,500 in costs would include pre- and post-labor, forty hours of audits, and travel. The committee decided not to go forward with the audit at this time.

ITEM 6: STANDARDIZED CONTAINERS

Mr. Kirkpatrick reported on the Standardization Advisory Committee he attended on November 15, 2007. He relayed CCAC's concerns regarding the meaning of "standard shipping container". Since standard containers are used for standardization and citrus assessments, CCAC is interested in setting one standard. CCAC believes the industry needs to set a clearly understood and defined packing size for assessment, especially as it relates to mandarin container size. Mr. Jim Simonian, chairman of the Standardization Advisory Committee, suggested CCAC provide a formal proposal for defined "standard" containers as they relate to citrus commodity assessments.

Mr. Kirkpatrick asked CDFA to determine if specific weight standardization could be set through regulation.

ITEM 7: OTHER BUSINESS

Mr. Ba informed the committee that a Notice of Decision Not to Proceed has been filed regarding the regulation to increase the citrus assessments. The CDFA Legal Office advised the program, based on Government Code 11340.9 (g), setting rates, prices,

and tariffs are not subject to Office of Administrative Law approval. Therefore, CDFA will submit the proposed regulation for review only. This is faster than the traditional regulation process. Based upon discussion with present committee members, it was decided to make the regulation effective February 1, 2008. The regulation will read:

1430.142. Citrus Assessment

An assessment of 11 mills (\$0.011) per carton for Navel oranges, 5 mills (\$0.005) per carton for lemons, and 6 mills (\$0.006) per carton for Valencia oranges and mandarin citrus shall be collected to perform the statutorily authorized activities set forth in Food and Agricultural Code Section 48002.

ITEM 8: NEXT MEETING

The next meeting will be a teleconference on February 26, 2008 at 11:00 a.m.

The committee requested CDFA provide handouts to the members, prior to the next meeting, regarding fiscal and other important issues. They also requested to receive an outline regarding the roles and responsibilities, and differences in the Citrus and Standardization programs and committees.

ITEM 9: ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 12:07 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by:

Amadou Ba, Supervisor
Standardization Program
Inspection and Compliance Branch

AB/ss

MATURITY/FREEZE REPORT
2007-2008 Fiscal Year
CITRUS INSPECTION MONTHLY ACTIVITY REPORT/INVOICE
 November 2007

EXHIBIT C

COUNTY	PREMISES INSPECTED	NO. LOTS		NO. CONTAINERS		NO. NIC			NO. MATURITY TESTS	NO. TEST BELOW S/A RATIO	NO. D.O.s ISSUED	INSP. HOURS	COUNTY COSTS		Percentage Used	INSPECTION PERIOD	Maturity
		INSP.	REJ.	INSP.	REJ.	MATURITY Color	S. S.	FREEZE					BUDGETED	ACTUAL			
Previous Month Comparison	64	67		87,827	1,549												
FRESNO	710	992		1,158,443	0	0	0	0	992	12	6	880	\$159,748	\$40,827	25.1%	November 2007	
Cummulative	1,053	1,599	0	1,856,000	2,548	0	0	2	1,071	12	6	1,541		\$69,971	43.8%	July thru November	
Previous Month Comparison	133	108		877,303	1,125												
KERN	213	593	0	1,392,960	0	0	0	0	593	0	0	911	\$88,705	\$38,152	43.0%	November 2007	
Cummulative	446	1,022	3	2,270,292	3,225	3	0	0	798	3	6	1,666		\$67,109	75.7%	July thru November	
Previous Month Comparison	40	100		88,475	8,873												
RIVERSIDE	23	201	0	239,350	0	0	0	0	201	0	0	135	\$21,125	\$8,775	41.5%	November 2007	
Cummulative	63	361	1	431,825	8,873	1	0	9	306	6	1	266		\$14,235	67.4%	July thru November	
Previous Month Comparison	17	17		16,264	54												
SAN BERNARDINO	5	5	0	5,000	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	10	\$3,544	\$718	20.3%	November 2007	
Cummulative	22	22	0	21,054	54	0	0	1	5	0	0	49		\$3,306	93.3%	July thru November	
Previous Month Comparison	1	10		26,700	0												
SANTA CLARA	15	66	0	60,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	\$6,887	\$2,911	42.3%	November 2007	
Cummulative	20	98	0	89,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41		\$3,321	48.2%	July thru November	
Previous Month Comparison	74	205		273,547	2,091												
TULARE	701	1,141	1	759,185	28	1	0	0	1,142	1	1	1,378	\$187,253	\$67,091	35.8%	November 2007	
Cummulative	1,462	1,736	7	1,432,732	2,091	6	0	1	1,753	26	7	3,112		\$151,869	81.1%	July thru November	
Previous Month Comparison	1	1		1,666	0												
VENTURA	12	19	0	22,542	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	56	\$11,091	\$3,773	34.0%	November 2007	
Cummulative	33	50	0	28,948	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	82		\$5,602	50.5%	July thru November	
MONTHLY TOTAL	1,679	3,017	1	3,637,480	28	1	0	0	2,952	13	7	3,406	\$478,353	\$161,447	33.8%		
Cummulative Totals	3,099	4,888	11	6,130,551	16,791	10	0	13	3,956	47	20	6,787		\$315,413	65.9%		

Note: Tulare Co. Containers Rejected Product Dumped - 28 cartons
 Fresno Co. # Disposal Orders-6 total of 7,852 cartons
 San Bernardino Co. data not available as of December 12, 2007

MATURITY/FREEZE REPORT
2007-2008 Fiscal Year
CITRUS INSPECTION MONTHLY ACTIVITY REPORT/INVOICE
 December 2007

EXHIBIT D

COUNTY	PREMISES INSPECTED	NO. LOTS		NO. CONTAINERS		NO. NIC			NO. MATURITY TESTS	NO. TEST BELOW S/A RATIO	NO. D.O.s ISSUED	INSP. HOURS	COUNTY COSTS		Percentage Used	INSPECTION PERIOD	Maturity
		INSP.	REJ.	INSP.	REJ.	MATURITY Color	S. S.	FREEZE					BUDGETED	ACTUAL			
Previous Month Comparison	1223	239	0	558,000	559	0	0	0	271	12	0	61		60,211			
FRESNO	689	1,127	0	1,265,784	0	0	0	0	1,127	2	0	1,260	\$159,748	\$58,407	36.6%	December 2007	
Cummulative	1,742	2,726	0	3,121,784	2,548	0	0	2	2,198	14	6	2,801		\$128,378	80.4%	July thru December	
Previous Month Comparison	862	1,023	0	1,270,280	1,225	3	0	0	788	0	0	1,064		187,108			
KERN	132	298	0	505,670	0	0	0	0	298	0	0	456	\$88,705	\$19,404	21.9%	December 2007	
Cummulative	598	1,320	3	2,775,962	3,225	3	0	0	1,096	3	6	2,122		\$86,513	97.5%	July thru December	
Previous Month Comparison	83	261	0	491,825	473	1	0	0	306	0	0	36		114,255			
RIVERSIDE	22	58	0	66,700	0	0	0	0	58	0	0	47	\$21,125	\$3,023	14.3%	December 2007	
Cummulative	85	419	1	498,525	8,873	1	0	0	364	6	1	313		\$17,258	81.7%	July thru December	
Previous Month Comparison	23	22	0	21,054	24	0	0	0	2	0	0	49		13,306			
SAN BERNARDINO	11	11	0	9,138	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	22	\$3,544	\$1,507	42.5%	December 2007	
Cummulative	33	33	0	30,192	54	0	0	1	16	0	0	71		\$4,813	135.8%	July thru December	
Previous Month Comparison	25	28	0	86,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11		13,311			
SANTA CLARA	7	29	0	29,150	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	\$6,887	\$1,271	18.5%	December 2007	
Cummulative	27	127	0	118,850	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57		\$4,592	66.7%	July thru December	
Previous Month Comparison	1,422	1,726	0	1,627,720	2,091	6	0	0	1,753	28	7	1,112		151,886			
TULARE	282	413	0	244,310	26	0	0	0	413	0	1	472	\$187,253	\$23,230	12.4%	December 2007	
Cummulative	1,744	2,149	7	1,877,042	2,119	6	0	1	2,166	26	8	3,584		\$175,099		July thru December	
Previous Month Comparison	21	20	0	28,998	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	14		15,660			
VENTURA	4	7	0	8,528	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	14	\$11,091	\$944	8.5%	December 2007	
Cummulative	37	57	0	37,476	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	96		\$6,546	59.0%	July thru December	
MONTHLY TOTAL	1,147	1,943	0	2,123,280	28	0	0	0	1,914	2	1	2,287	\$478,353	\$107,786	22.5%		
Cummulative Totals	4,266	6,831	11	8,258,831	16,819	10	0	13	5,870	49	21	9,044		\$423,199	88.5%		

MATURITY/FREEZE REPORT
2007-2008 Fiscal Year
CITRUS INSPECTION MONTHLY ACTIVITY REPORT/INVOICE
January 2008

Exhibit E

COUNTY	PREMISES INSPECTED	NO. LOTS		NO. CONTAINERS		NO. NIC			NO. MATURITY TESTS	NO. TEST BELOW S/A RATIO	NO. D.O.s ISSUED	INSP. HOURS	COUNTY COSTS		Percentage Used	INSPECTION PERIOD	Maturity
		INSP.	REJ.	INSP.	REJ.	MATURITY Color	S. S.	FREEZE					BUDGETED	ACTUAL			
Previous Month Comparison	1742	2726	0	3,121,784	2,548	0	0	0	2,198	14	6	2,801	\$159,748	\$0	0.0%	January 2008	
FRESNO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0.0%	January 2008	
Cummulative	1,742	2,726	0	3,121,784	2,548	0	0	0	2,198	14	6	2,801	\$159,748	\$0	0.0%	July thru January 08	
Previous Month Comparison	598	1,330	0	2,775,962	3,225	3	0	0	1,096	3	6	2,122	\$88,705	\$0	0.0%	January 2008	
KERN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0.0%	January 2008	
Cummulative	598	1,330	3	2,775,962	3,225	3	0	0	1,096	3	6	2,122	\$88,705	\$0	0.0%	July thru January 08	
Previous Month Comparison	17	48	0	57,690	8,873	1	0	0	48	0	0	60	\$21,125	\$3,868	18.3%	January 2008	
RIVERSIDE	102	467	1	556,125	8,873	1	0	0	412	6	1	373	\$21,125	\$3,868	18.3%	January 2008	
Cummulative	102	467	1	556,125	8,873	1	0	0	412	6	1	373	\$21,125	\$3,868	18.3%	July thru January 08	
Previous Month Comparison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$3,544	\$0	0.0%	January 2008	
SAN BERNARDINO	33	33	0	30,192	54	0	0	0	16	0	0	71	\$3,544	\$0	0.0%	January 2008	
Cummulative	33	33	0	30,192	54	0	0	0	16	0	0	71	\$3,544	\$0	0.0%	July thru January 08	
Previous Month Comparison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$6,887	\$0	0.0%	January 2008	
SANTA CLARA	27	127	0	118,850	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	\$6,887	\$0	0.0%	January 2008	
Cummulative	27	127	0	118,850	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	\$6,887	\$0	0.0%	July thru January 08	
Previous Month Comparison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$187,253	\$0	0.0%	January 2008	
TULARE	1,744	2,149	7	1,877,042	2,119	6	0	0	2,166	26	8	3,884	\$187,253	\$0	0.0%	January 2008	
Cummulative	1,744	2,149	7	1,877,042	2,119	6	0	0	2,166	26	8	3,884	\$187,253	\$0	0.0%	July thru January 08	
Previous Month Comparison	5	10	2	10,576	2,496	2	0	0	10	2	2	24	\$11,091	\$1,560	14.1%	January 2008	
VENTURA	42	67	2	48,052	2,496	2	0	0	40	2	2	120	\$11,091	\$1,560	14.1%	January 2008	
Cummulative	42	67	2	48,052	2,496	2	0	0	40	2	2	120	\$11,091	\$1,560	14.1%	July thru January 08	
MONTHLY TOTAL	22	58	2	68,176	2,496	2	0	0	58	2	2	84	\$478,353	\$5,428	1.1%		
Cummulative Totals	4,288	6,889	13	8,328,007	19,315	12	0	0	5,928	51	23	9,128	\$478,353	\$5,428	89.6%		

