Irrigation and Nitrogen Management Web-Based Software for Lettuce Production

Michael Cahn
Irrigation and Water Resources Advisor
University of California, Cooperative, Monterey Co

Acknowledgements

- Tim Hartz, Richard Smith
- California Department of Food and Agriculture, Fertilizer Research and Education Program
- UC ANR Communication Services, Bryon Noel
- Lee Johnson and Forrest Melton, CSUMB/NASA
- Grower participants
- Chiquita FreshExpress
- Tanimura and Antle



Salinas Valley Basin Plan



Lower Salinas Nutrient TMDL

TIER 3

DISCHARGERS ENROLLED UNDER THE CONDITIONAL WAIVER OF WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS FOR DISCHARGES FROM IRRIGATED LANDS

This Monitoring and Reporting Program Order No. R3-2012-0011-03 (MRP) is issued pursuant to California Water Code section 13267 and 13269, which authorize the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Coast Region (hereafter Central Coast Water Board) to require preparation and submittal of technical and monitoring reports. Water Code section 13269 requires a waiver of waste discharge requirements to include as a condition, the performance of monitoring and the public availability of monitoring results. The Conditional Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges from Irrigated Lands Order No. R3-2012-0011 (Order) includes criteria and requirements for three tiers. This MRP sets forth monitoring and reporting requirements for **Tier 3 Dischargers** enrolled under the Order. A summary of the requirements is shown below.

SUMMARY OF MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS FOR TIER 3:

Part 1: Surface Receiving Water Monitoring and Reporting *(cooperative or individual)*;
Part 2: Groundwater Monitoring and Reporting;
Nitrate Loading Risk Factor Determination and Total Nitrogen Reporting *(required for subset of Tier 3 Dischargers if farm/ranch has high nitrate loading risk to groundwater)*;
Part 3: Annual Compliance Form;
Part 4: Photo Monitoring *(required for subset of Tier 3 Dischargers if farm/ranch contains or is adjacent to a waterbody impaired for temperature, turbidity or sediment)*;
Part 5: Individual Surface Water Discharge Monitoring and Reporting;
Part 6: Irrigation and Nutrient Management Plan *(required for subset of Tier 3 Dischargers if farm/ranch has High Nitrate Loading Risk)*;
Part 7: Water Quality Buffer Plan *(required for subset of Tier 3 Dischargers if farm/ranch contains or is adjacent to a waterbody impaired for temperature, turbidity or sediment)*;

Ag Order



SWRCB SBX2 1

Tools for Managing Water and Nitrogen Fertilizer in Lettuce

- Soil nitrate quick test

  (20 ppm NO_3-N = 70 to 80 lbs of N/acre/ft)
- Weather-based irrigation scheduling



Commercial Lettuce Nitrogen Fertilizer Trials



Difference = 66 lbs N/Acre

Weather-based irrigation scheduling



Converting Reference ET to Crop ET:

$$ET_{crop} = ET_{ref} \times K_{crop}$$

K_c can vary from 0.1 to 1.2

Estimated Kc of Lettuce



Other information needs to be considered



How can water and N management tools be useful for large vegetable growing operations?

- Large growing operations have multiple decision makers
- One farm manager may be responsible for >100 fields during a season
- Other responsibilities besides water and fertilizer N management

Web-based Irrigation and N management software for lettuce

https://ucanr.edu/cropmanage



CropManage

Integrate information from multiple sources

Database Driven Web Application

Crop ET model → Watering Recommendation

Crop N model → N fertilizer Recommendation

Display and export water and fertilizer records

Decision support using crop models

Current crops supported

Romaine, 40-inch wide beds (2 plant rows)
Romaine, 80-inch wide beds (5 to 6 plant rows)
Iceberg, 40-inch wide beds (2 plant rows)
Iceberg, 80-inch wide beds (5 to 6 plant rows)

Steps to Using CropManage

1. Establish user login
2. Set up a ranch
3. Add new plantings to ranch
4. Enter soil tests, fertilizer, or irrigation events for plantings

How is N fertilizer rate determined from the quick nitrate test?

Recommended
Fertilizer N = Future Crop N uptake

– (Quick Test N - threshold NO_3-N)

– Soil mineralization N

– Plant residue N

N uptake rate by head lettuce (40 inch-wide beds)




Iceberg lettuce canopy cover
Canopy Cover (%)
100
80
60
40
20
0
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
Days after Planting

Spatial CIMIS ETo Reporting





Fertilizer Summary

< Back | Go to:

Show / Hide Columns

Fertilizer Date	Soil NO_3-N (ppm)	Crop Stage	Fertilizer N Recommended (lb N/acre)	Cumulative N Uptake	Fertilizer	Applied N (lb N/acre)	Applied Fertilizer
7/1/12	12.50	Planting	0.0	0.23	3.5-12-14	15.0	36.9 gal/acre
7/24/12	15.00	1st drip fertigation	31.2	4.32	28-0-0-5	24.8	8.0 gal/acre
8/10/12	15.00	2nd drip fertigation	55.8	31.90	UAN28	56.7	19.0 gal/acre
Totals			86.9			96.5	

New Fertilizing

First Previous 1 Next Last

Show 5 Rows

Show / Hide Table

Fertilizer List

Fertilizer Name	Formulation	Percentage N	Pounds Per Gallon (Liquid Formulation Only)
0-0-24	Liquid	0%	11.9
0-0-25	Liquid	0%	12
0-0-50	Dry	0%	0
1.3-3.5-.05	Liquid	1.3%	8.6
1.4-3.89-0.06	Liquid	1.4%	8.6
15-8-4	Liquid	15%	10.4
18-0-0	Dry	18%	0
18-18-18	Dry	18%	0
20-0-0-5	Liquid	20%	10.5
27-0-0-4.8	Liquid	27%	10.9
28-0-0-5	Liquid	28%	11.05
3-5-10	Liquid	3%	10.4
3.5-12-14	Liquid	3.5%	11.63
5-20-3-1	Liquid	5%	10.7
6-16-0-1.94S	Liquid	6%	8.6

Irrigation Summary

< Back | Go to:

Show / Hide Columns | Reset Column Order | Show Previous Columns | Show Next Columns

Water Date	Irrigation Method	Recommended Irrigation Interval (days)	Recommended Irrigation Amount (inches)	Recommended Irrigation Time (hours)	Irrigation Water Applied (inches)	Kc	Canopy Cover (%)	Average Reference ET (inches/day)	Total Crop ET (inches)
7/8/12	Sprinkler	1.6	0.48 in	1.59 hrs	0.60 in	0.48	0	0.25	0.36
7/13/12	Sprinkler	2.8	0.47 in	1.57 hrs	0.51 in	0.30	1	0.24	0.35
7/20/12	Drip	6.3	0.41 in	2.70 hrs	0.45 in	0.23	3	0.22	0.34
7/24/12	Drip	9.4	0.19 in	1.25 hrs	0.22 in	0.16	5	0.25	0.16
7/29/12	Drip	11.2	0.23 in	1.56 hrs	0.15 in	0.18	11	0.22	0.20
8/4/12	Drip	8.2	0.46 in	3.03 hrs	0.60 in	0.27	24	0.24	0.39
8/7/12	Drip	7.6	0.26 in	1.76 hrs	0.30 in	0.40	33	0.19	0.22
8/10/12	Drip	4.9	0.44 in	2.95 hrs	0.30 in	0.50	43	0.25	0.38
8/14/12	Drip	4.3	0.73 in	4.90 hrs	0.80 in	0.64	56	0.25	0.62
8/18/12	Drip	4.1	0.82 in	5.49 hrs	0.00 in	0.77	67	0.23	0.70
Totals			5.36 in	29.70 hrs	6.03 in				4.38 in

New Watering | View Rainfall Data

First Previous 1 2 Next Last

Show / Hide Table

Show 10 Rows

Interface with UCD SoilWeb Tool

Soil Type | Select Soil Type | Find Soil Type

Soil Depth	Silt (%)	Sand (%)	Clay (%)	Organic (%)	Density (g/cm^3)	Soil Tension (cbar)	Mineralization Rate (lb N/acre/day)
1 ft	19.6%	67.4%	13%	2.5%	1.6	7	0.2
2 ft	19.6%	67.4%	13%	2.5%	1.6	5.8	0.2

Irrigation System Application Rate (inches/hr)



User Support: CropManage Blog

CROPMANAGE

Help and User Instructions for Irrigation and N management tool

SHARE | EMAIL | PRINT

CropManage Overview: A web application for managing water and nitrogen fertilizer in lettuce

Author: Michael D Cahn **October 15, 2012**

Cool season vegetable production requires significant inputs of water and nitrogen (N) fertilizer to maximize yield and quality. Proposed changes in water quality regulations on the Central Coast and higher fertilizer prices in recent years have prompted grower interest in increasing efficiency of nitrogen fertilizer use in lettuce. By improving water management and matching nitrogen applications to the uptake pattern of the crop, growers could potentially reduce fertilizer use and address water quality concerns.

Two tools available, the quick nitrate soil test and weather-based irrigation scheduling, have been shown to help lettuce producers better manage water and fertilizer nitrogen. Trials we conducted in commercial fields have demonstrated that soil nitrate concentrations greater than 20 ppm NO_3-N,

Search Enter Search Terms

Subscribe

Enter e-mail Address

Recent Posts

Blog Home

- 2013 Irrigation and Nutrient Meeting
- CropManage privacy policy: how we keep your data private and secure
- CropManage Overview: A web application for managing water and nitrogen fertilizer in lettuce

Archives

All Archives

- February 2013
- October 2012

How much water was applied?

Flow Meter Data



Evaluate and Document Water Management



Field Validation of CropManage

Treatment	Applied water		Commercial Yield
	sprinkler	drip[1]	
	inches		lbs/acre
Grower Standard	4.1	4.9	17935
CropManage	4.1	3.8	18389

[1] comparison was on last 7 irrigations

Treatment	Applied N Fertilizer	Commercial Yield
	------- lbs per acre --------	
Grower Standard	211	19114
CropManage	149	18760

Summary of Commercial Lettuce Strip Trials (2012-2013)



Replicated Irrigation Trial for Iceberg Lettuce

Treatment	head wt		carton yield		CFR[1]
	untrimmed	trimmed	untrimmed	trimmed	yield
	lbs/head		--------- lbs/acre		----------
Grower standard (150% ETc)	2.73	1.60	73903	43221	41070
CropManage (100% ETc)	2.76	1.61	75623	44109	39579
$LSD_{0.05}$	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

1. Cored for region

Grower interest

The road ahead…



N contribution from irrigation water?


TOPS Satellite Irrigation Management Support
Username:
Go to:
Search
About
Help
River Rd
Chualar River Rd
Memorial Hwy
101
Broome Rd
0.0
0.2
0.5
0.8
1.0
Fractional Cover(%)

Final Thoughts

- Web applications can be useful for repackaging research results into simple to use decision support tools

- Web apps can also help growers track their practices and demonstrate they are managing nutrients and water efficiently

- *CropManage* is a potential tool for crop consultants and advisers to use in assisting growers with water and N management decisions.


Questions?