### Achieving efficient N fertilizer management in California spring wheat







Mark Lundy, Steve Orloff, Steve Wright & Bob Hutmacher

UC Cooperative Extension

University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources

### Outline

- Overview of spring wheat production in California with emphasis on Sacramento Valley conditions
- How does management influence crop N requirements?
- Constructing a N budget for wheat
- How can in-field tools assist in determining sitespecific, real-time crop N needs?

### Background: Spring wheat production in California



Image courtesy: California Wheat Commission

- Acreage : ≈ 500,000 ac yr<sup>-1</sup> hard red/white; ≈ 60,000 ac yr<sup>-1</sup>durum
- 50% grown for grain
- Yields ≈ 5500-6000 lb ac<sup>-1</sup>
- Grain growers receive payment for quantity ± quantity
- Protein (quality) varies by region ≈
   11-14%

#### Nitrogen-related management in CA spring wheat

•



Image courtesy: California Wheat Commission

- Irrigation varies by region:
  - More opportunistic in the Sacramento Valley
  - More standard in the southern part of the state and Intermountain area
- Many growers split N applications between sowing and tillering-stem elongation
  - Total rates: 100 225 lb acre<sup>-1</sup>

## Why should we care about site-specific N management in wheat?



# Why should we care about site-specific N management in wheat?

#### N costs as a proportion of material costs in wheat



## Optimizing the rate, timing of N application:

- Improves fertilizer use efficiency
- Increases the value of the crop

## N costs as a proportion of total, direct operating costs in



\*Based on 2008 UCCE Cost Study for irrigated wheat in Sac. Valley

# Why should we care about site-specific N management in wheat?

#### N management plan implementation

1. Crop Year (Harvested):		4. APN(s):	5. Field(s) ID	Acres
2 Member ID#				
3. Name:				
			15	
CROP NITROGEN MANAGEMENT PLANNING		N APPLICATIONS/CREDITS	Recommended/ Planned N	16. Actua N
6. Crop		17, Nitrogen Fertilizers		
7. Production Unit		18. Dry/Liquid N (lbs/ac)		
8. Projected Yield (Units/Acre)		19. Foliar N (Ibs/ac)		
9. N Recommended (los/ac)		20, Organic Material N		
10. Acres				
Post Production Actuals		(lbs/ac estimate)		
11. Actual Yield (Units/Acre)		22. Total Available N Applied (lbs per acre)		
12. Total N Applied (bs/sc)		23, Nitrogen Credits (est)		
13. ** N Removed (lbs N/ac)		24. Available N carryover in soil:		
14. Notes:		(annualized lbs/acre)		
		25. N in Irrigation water		
		(annualized, lbs/ac)		
		26. Total N Credits (he per agra)		
		not result of ordered (to be acte)		
		27. Total N Applied & Available		

NITROGEN MANAGEMENT PLAN WORKSHEET

NMP Management Unit:

Wheat response to N fertilizer addition at various growth stages is generally well-understood

#### Fertilizer N effects on yield and protein at various growth stages kernel weight YIELD: number of tillers and kernels per head **PROTEIN:** biomass N for remobilization during grain fill remobilization rate, direct Growth Stage Tillering Early Leaf Stem Elongation (jointing to boot) Heading to Maturation

Image courtesy: S. Orloff

#### METHODS



Fertilizer treatments	PREPLANT	TILLERING	BOOT	FLOWERING	TOTAL	
	% of N fertilizer applied				kg / ha	
	0 - 100%	0 - 100%	0 - 50%	0 - 20%	0 - 335 kg/ha	
	<u> </u>					
Field 1			fully irr	igated		
Field 2	not irrigated					
Tield 2	supplemental irrigation					
Field 1		Va	riety: ha	ard white		
Field 2	Variety: hard red					
Field 1	Soil:	Entisol, pre	plant NC	)3-N = 1 ppm, (	0 - 60 cm	
Field 2	Soil: Alfisol, preplant NO3-N = 10 ppm, 0 - 60 cm					

#### Gradients (HIGH to LOW):

- Nitrogen availability
- Water availability



- Rate of fertilizer N demand varies across the growing season [TIMING MATTERS].
  - Total fertilizer N demand varies according to the protein yield potential of the crop [WHAT IS A REASONABLE YIELD EXPECTATION?]. Water is more limiting than N [IRRIGATION?].
  - [SOIL] supplies a large portion of N to the crop.

Yield = 7500 lb acre<sup>-1</sup> ; Protein = 11.5%

ightarrow

#### TIMING MATTERS



#### A. Preplant N only

#### B. Tillering-Flowering N

- 16% higher yield
- > 1% higher protein

### Timing of N application affects YIELD

igodol



Applications of N at Tillering and Flowering significantly boost yields compared to Preplant and late-Boot/early-Heading applications

 Assuming sufficient water follows N application

### Timing of N application affects PROTEIN

 $\bullet$ 



- Applications of N at
  Flowering boost grain
  protein content
  relative to other
  application timings
  - Assuming sufficient water follows N application
  - Assuming crop has sufficient yield potential

### Timing of N application affects FERTILIZER USE EFFICIENCY

•



Applications of N at
Tillering and Flowering
boost grain fertilizer
use efficiency relative
to other application
timings

- Interacts strongly with water availability & timing
- Large range of possibilities (0.3 – 0.65)

### Overall demand for fertilizer N by irrigated wheat in the Sacramento Valley



Timing: preplant - tillering

### Overall demand for fertilizer N by irrigated wheat in the Sacramento Valley

۲



Fertilizer N demand:  $_{1b ac^{-1}} - 360 _{1b ac^{-1}} = 600 _{1b ac^{-1}}$  $_{1b ac^{-1}} / 5.7 = 105 _{1b ac^{-1}}$  $_{1b ac^{-1}} / 0.5 = 210 _{1b ac^{-1}}$ 

2.6 lb N / 100 lb grain

• Fertilizer N demand:  $_{\text{Ib ac}^{-1}} - 360 _{\text{Ib ac}^{-1}} = 600 _{\text{Ib ac}^{-1}}$  $_{\text{Ib ac}^{-1}} / 5.7 = 105 _{\text{Ib ac}^{-1}}$  $_{\text{Ib ac}^{-1}} / 0.4 = 263 _{\text{Ib ac}^{-1}}$ 

3.7 lb N / 100 lb grain

#### 8000 lb acre<sup>-1</sup>; 12% protein

Overall demand for fertilizer N by supplemental irrigated wheat in the Sacramento Valley

- 5500 lb acre<sup>-1</sup>; 11% protein
  protein yield = 605 lb ac<sup>-1</sup>
- 2500 lb acre<sup>-1</sup>; 8% protein
  protein yield = 200 lb ac<sup>-1</sup>
- Fertilizer N demand:  $605 \ Ib \ ac^{-1} - 200 \ Ib \ ac^{-1} = 405 \ Ib \ ac^{-1}$   $405 \ Ib \ ac^{-1} / 5.7 = 71 \ Ib \ ac^{-1}$  $71 \ Ib \ ac^{-1} / 0.5 = 142 \ Ib \ ac^{-1}$

2.6 lb N / 100 lb grain

• Fertilizer N demand:  $605 |_{b ac^{-1}} - 200 |_{b ac^{-1}} = 405 |_{b ac^{-1}}$   $405 |_{b ac^{-1}} / 5.7 = 71 |_{b ac^{-1}}$  $71 |_{b ac^{-1}} / 0.4 = 178 |_{b ac^{-1}}$ 

3.2 lb N / 100 lb grain

# Overall demand for fertilizer N by rainfed wheat in the Sacramento Valley

- 4200 lb acre<sup>-1</sup>; 12.5% protein
  protein yield = 525 lb ac<sup>-1</sup>
- 2500 lb acre<sup>-1</sup>; 8% protein
  protein yield = 200 lb ac<sup>-1</sup>
- Range of N rates: <u>114 – 263 lb ac<sup>-1</sup></u>
- depending on:
  - water fertilizer use efficiency

• Fertilizer N demand:  $525 \,_{1b \, ac^{-1}} - 200 \,_{1b \, ac^{-1}} = 325 \,_{1b \, ac^{-1}}$   $325 \,_{1b \, ac^{-1}} / 5.7 = 57 \,_{1b \, ac^{-1}}$  $57 \,_{1b \, ac^{-1}} / 0.5 = 114 \,_{1b \, ac^{-1}}$ 

2.6 lb N / 100 lb grain

• Fertilizer N demand:  $525 |_{b ac^{-1}} - 200 |_{b ac^{-1}} = 325 |_{b ac^{-1}}$   $325 |_{b ac^{-1}} / 5.7 = 57 |_{b ac^{-1}}$  $57 |_{b ac^{-1}} / 0.4 = 143 |_{b ac^{-1}}$ 

3.4 lb N / 100 lb grain

#### How much N will the SOIL supply?

Multiple ways to estimate, many things to estimate...

- One method (top 1 foot)
  - ppm NO3-N x 4 or 5
    - Example: 12ppm NO3-N x 4 or  $5 \approx 48 60$  lb ac<sup>-1</sup>
- Second method (top 2 feet):
  - ppm NO3-N \* 3.8  $\approx$  lb N ac<sup>-1</sup> ft<sup>-1</sup> of soil
    - Example: 12 ppm (1<sup>st</sup> ft)\*3.8 ≈ 46 lbs; 7 ppm (2<sup>nd</sup> ft)\*3.8 ≈ 27 lbs
      - Total  $\approx$  73 lb ac<sup>-1</sup>
      - Or: 73 lb  $ac^{-1} \times 0.75 \approx 54$  lb  $ac^{-1}$
- Prior Crop:
  - Tomato residue estimated at 50 lb ac<sup>-1</sup> returned, but probably reflected in soil nitrate test
  - Alfalfa contribution  $\approx 100 \text{ lb ac}^{-1} + 100 \text{ lb}$

#### How much N will the SOIL supply?

- Multiple ways to estimate, many things to estimate...
- In-season soil organic matter N mineralization:
  - 0.8% OM % \* 30 lb N / % OM  $\approx$  24 lb ac<sup>-1</sup>
- Other sources:
  - irrigation
  - manure





Yield = 7500 lb acre<sup>-1</sup> ; Protein = 11.5%

#### Key management variables to consider when determining N fertility at various growth stages

#### Fertilizer N effects on yield and protein at various growth stages

### YIELD: † PROTEIN: † bid

number of tillers and kernels per head
 biomass N for remobilization during grain fill



# What tools are available to assist in real-time N management in wheat?





#### The Basic SOLVITA® Soil Response Color System

The patented gel-technology system indicates COs-respiration over a color range of 0 to 5 (see chart). In COs-Burst mode this corresponds to a range of 5 to 160 ppm COs-C. In BASAL mode it corresponds to a range of 0 – 55 ppm or 1 – 25 kg milyear as COs.

A8 Solvita kits work with a basic visual color system, as shown below. By using the Solvita Digital Color Reader (DCR) the sol test values can be more accurately and precisely determined.



Sequence of Typical Soil Solvita Test Results:



# What tools are available to assist in real-time N management in wheat?







## What tools are available to assist in real-time N management in wheat?







Objective: Develop decision support tools that inform whether and how much N to apply at any given point in the crop cycle.



## Management variables that can be approximated by low-cost, in-field technologies

#### Fertilizer N effects on yield and protein at various growth stages

YIELD: PROTEIN: number of tillers and kernels per head
 biomass N for remobilization during grain fill



Grov	vth Stage					
Preplant	Early Leaf	Tillering	Stem Elongation (jointing to	boot)	Heading t	<b>o Maturation</b> Image courtesy: S. Orlo
yield potent irrigation site fertility variety end use	tial	yield potentia water status soil N status plant N status logistics		yield poten water statu plant N stat logistics soil N statu	tial IS tus JS	yield potential water status plant N status logistics
premium / o	discount					

#### Management variables that can be approximated by low-cost, in-field technologies

#### Fertilizer N effects on yield and protein at various growth stages



Preplant Early Leaf Tillering

Stem Elongation (jointing to boot)

Heading to Maturation Image courtesy: S. Orloff

yield potential plant N status

#### In-field measurement devices



atLEAF chlorophyll meter

- SPAD proxy (660 and 940 nm)
- proxy for yield leaf N concentration
- Retail: ≈ \$250



#### Trimble Greenseeker handheld

- NDVI (660 and 770 nm)
- Suitable proxy for yield potential?
- Retail: ≈ \$500

# Methods: Calibrate across N and water gradients at key points during crop growth



#### **Results: Calibration**







#### **Results: Decision support**



\*For \$7.50/bu wheat with \$0.01/ lb premium or discount / % above or below target (11%).

#### Summary

- 1. N demand varies across the season & from field-tofield, depends on water availability, timing.
- 2. The timing of N application can influence yield, protein and fertilizer use efficiency.
- 3. The use of in-field sensors provided actionable, realtime information as to the protein and protein-yield outcomes of the crop.
- 4. Combining information from more than one sensor resulted in additive information that improved the inseason ability to predict outcome.

#### DIY calibration?





If a suite of 3-4 in-field tests/measures at flowering could predict your ability to add 1% protein to your wheat crop with 60-70% accuracy, how much extra time would you be willing to invest to accomplish this on a 100 acre wheat field?

- A. None
- B. 30 minutes
- C. 1 hour
- D. 2 hours
- E. 4 hours



On an annual basis, how much would you be willing to invest in tools/tests that would enable this type of decision?

- A. Nothing
- B. \$10
- C. \$100
- D. \$1000
- E. \$10000



Is calibrating in-field diagnostic tools for improved fertility management something you are interested in...

- A. Participating in actively
- B. Participating in casually
- C. Learning more about
- D. Not interested



#### Acknowledgments







Jason Tsichlis, Ryan Byrnes, Phil Mayo, Gerry Hernandez, Lalo Banuelos, Israel Herrera, Emma Torbert, Rika Fields, Katy Mulligan, Eric Lin, Dan Putnam, Chris de Ben, Israel Herrera, Fred Stewart, Jim Jackson, IREC, WSREC, and UCD Plant Sciences Field Crews.



University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources