| **Reviewer Name:** | Click here to enter text. | **Date Reviewed:** | Click here to enter a date. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Applicant Organization:** | Click here to enter text. | **CDFA PIN #:** | Click here to enter text. |

**Instructions:**

Please use the criteria outlined below to evaluate the California Agricultural License Plate Grant Proposals. As appropriate, scoring for each review question should use a holistic approach taking all relevant factors into consideration.

For each section, use the drop-down box to assign a score. After completing your review, provide constructive comments (**required**) for both CDFA and the applicant at the bottom of the review sheet.

|  |
| --- |
| **PROJECT PURPOSE - 10 POINTS:** |

Scoring should be based on how well the grant proposal addresses the specific issue, problem, or need, as well as the importance and relevance to California’s agriculture industry.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Poor** | | **Below Average** | | **Average** | | **Good** | | **Excellent** | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

**Score:** Select a Score.

|  |
| --- |
| **POTENTIAL IMPACT– 10 POINTS:** |

Scoring should be based on the extent to which the project clearly demonstrates the impact on California agriculture education and training, and the identified beneficiaries.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Poor** | | **Below Average** | | **Average** | | **Good** | | **Excellent** | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

**Score:** Select a Score.

|  |
| --- |
| **EXPECTED MEASUREABLE OUTCOMES - 10 POINTS:** |

Scoring should be based on the relevance, timeliness, and probability of success to achieve the program goals.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Poor** | | **Below Average** | | **Average** | | **Good** | | **Excellent** | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

**Score:** Select a Score.

|  |
| --- |
| **PROJECT WORK PLAN - 10 POINTS:** |

Scoring should be based on the clarity of the work plan and relevance of the specific project activities to the project purpose.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Poor** | | **Below Average** | | **Average** | | **Good** | | **Excellent** | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

**Score:** Select a Score.

|  |
| --- |
| **BUDGET NARRATIVE - 10 POINTS:** |

Scoring should be based on the reasonableness of the budget and relevance to the project activities and goals.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Poor** | | **Below Average** | | **Average** | | **Good** | | **Excellent** | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

**Score:** Select a Score.

|  |
| --- |
| **CDFA REVIEW COMMENTS** |

Provide overall review comments for **CDFA only** (**required**). Comments will help guide CDFA in determining recommendation of funding. Your comments made here will NOT be shared with the applicant.

**Comments:**

Click here to enter text.

|  |
| --- |
| **APPLICANT FEEDBACK COMMENTS** |

Please provide constructive feedback for the **applicant** (**required**). Comments made here should describe any deficiencies and identify specific areas that the applicant can address to improve their proposal.

To ensure the clarity of your feedback, please use complete sentences.

**Comments:**

Click here to enter text.