

October 13, 2015

DMS NOTICE QC - 15 – 07 DISCARD: RETAIN

TO: WEIGHTS AND MEASURES OFFICIALS

**SUBJECT:** Settlement – Genuine Parts Company, a Georgia Corporation, dba NAPA Auto Parts

Enclosed is a copy of the Stipulated Final Judgment issued against Genuine Parts Company, a Georgia Corporation, dba NAPA Auto Parts. The case was filed on July 31, 2015, by the District Attorney's office of San Diego County in conjunction with the District Attorneys' offices of Alameda and Merced for overcharging consumers, in violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 12024.2.

The California Department of Food and Agriculture, Division of Measurement Standards (Department) worked with weights and measures investigators from over 20 counties on this case. The total settlement was for \$338,000. Civil penalties amounted to \$273,000 and agency costs were \$65,000. Additionally, Genuine Parts Company, a Georgia Corporation, dba NAPA Auto Parts was ordered to institute a compliance program for a period of three years starting 30 days from the date of entry of the present judgment that includes conducting regular price audits in the stores and the submission of a written report to the District Attorney's summarizing the business' internal audit activities for each year.

San Diego County should report these penalties on the County Monthly Report. All participating counties should separately record their individual investigative cost reimbursements in the appropriate columns on the report.

The Department appreciates the fine work done by the District Attorneys' Offices along with the state and county investigators that documented and caused to be prosecuted these violations. If you have any questions, please contact Katherine de Contreras, Supervising Special Investigator, Enforcement Branch at (916) 229-3047, or <a href="mailto:katherine.decontreras@cdfa.ca.gov">katherine.decontreras@cdfa.ca.gov</a>.

Sincerely,

Kristin J. Macey Director

Krishing Many

Diroctor

**Enclosure** 

cc: Gary Leslie, County/State Liaison, CDFA



1 2	BONNIE M. DUMANIS, District Attorney County of San Diego THOMAS A. PAPAGEORGE	Exempt from fees pursuant to Govt. Code § 6103
3	Deputy District Attorney (Bar. No. 77690) STEPHEN M. SPINELLA	
4	Deputy District Attorney (Bar No. 144732) Economic Crimes Division	FILED
5	330 W. Broadway, Suite 750	SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT
	San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: 619-515-8169	JUL 3 1 2015
6	E-mail: steve.spinella@sdcda.org	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
7	NANCY E. O'MALLEY, District Attorney	BY: T. RAY
8	County of Alameda ANTHONY P. DOUGLAS	ONNIE M. SUMANIS, Olori - Alignes e
9	Deputy District Attorney (Bar No. 118210)	
10	Consumer and Environmental Protection Division 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650	
11	Oakland, CA 94621 Telephone: (510) 383-8600 E-mail: tony.douglas@acgov.org	
12	E-mail: tony.douglas@acgov.org	
13	[Additional Attorneys for Plaintiff Listed on Following Page]	
14		2.000, 00.7 0.00, 1.00, 2.00, 3
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff the People of the State of California	
16	Industrial Court of Transparent ), writed the Court	
17	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S'	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
18	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
19	redd all are tyndau dag tham I gar,	
20	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	CaraNa
21		Case <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2015</sub> -00025177-CU-BT-CTL
22	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED FINAL JUDGMENT
23	v.	ACCOUNTS OF THE PARTY.
24	GENUINE PARTS COMPANY, a Georgia	the share is the same and to court
25	Corporation,	no. at .
26	Defendant.	
27		
28	and the second of the adjusters are as	JUL 28'15 am11:31
20	attronic column are are una hejmesenial egg, supreme	
	1	
	Stipulated Final	Judgment

LARRY D. MORSE II, District Attorney 1 County of Merced RICHARD S. MICHAELS 2 Special Prosecutor (Bar No. 51940) Consumer/Environmental Protections Division 3 550 West Main Street Merced, CA 95340 4 Telephone: (209) 385-7381 5 Email: kingsbeachguy@charter.net 6 Plaintiff, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ("Plaintiff"), having filed 7 its Complaint and appearing through its attorneys, BONNIE M. DUMANIS, District Attorney of 8 the County of San Diego, by Thomas A. Papageorge and Stephen M. Spinella, Deputy District 9 Attorneys; NANCY E. O'MALLEY, District Attorney of the County of Alameda, by Anthony 10 P. Douglas, Deputy District Attorney; and LARRY D. MORSE II, District Attorney of the 11 County of Merced, by Richard S. Michaels, Special Prosecutor; and Defendant, GENUINE 12 PARTS COMPANY, a Georgia Corporation (hereinafter "Defendant"), through its attorneys, 13 Pérez & Wilson, LLP, by Michael J. Pérez, Esq., having stipulated to the entry of this Stipulated 14 Final Judgment (the "Judgment"), without the Court taking any evidence, without Defendant 15 admitting any liability or wrongdoing, and without this Judgment constituting an admission by 16 any party regarding any issue of fact or law; and the Court, having considered the pleadings and 17 good cause appearing therefor, 18 HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES and DECREES that Plaintiff have judgment against 19 Defendant as follows: 20 21 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 22 1. This action is brought under the laws of the State of California and this Court has 23 jurisdiction of the subject matter hereof and the parties hereto. 24 25 APPLICABILITY 26 2. All provisions of this Judgment are applicable to Defendant and to Defendant's 27 officers, employees, agents, representatives, successors and assigns. This Judgment shall only 28

apply to the operations and activities of Defendant's stores in California that are wholly owned and operated by Defendant in California. This Judgment is intended to apply to and shall encompass all of Defendant's operations and activities at or by its wholly owned stores in California, regardless of whether those stores are located in one of the counties representing the People of the State of California in this action. Defendant shall, within five (5) business days of its receipt of this Judgment, provide actual notice of this Judgment to its General Counsel and any corporate officers overseeing Defendant's California operations, including any corporate officers responsible for litigation, regulatory matters, operations, and pricing at Defendant's California stores. This Judgment represents a full and final settlement and release of all claims of the People of the State of California asserted in the Complaint against Defendant at any time prior to the filing of this Stipulated Final Judgment within and throughout the State of California.

## INJUNCTION

- 3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, and as more fully set forth in this Judgment, Defendant and all others described in paragraph 2 of this Judgment are permanently enjoined and restrained from engaging, directly or indirectly, with respect to Defendant's California stores, in the following acts or practices:
- a. At the time of any sale of an item offered for sale at Defendant's California stores, charging an improper price based on the lowest price posted on the item itself or on a shelf tag that corresponds to the item, in violation of California Business and Professions Code section 12024.2(a).
- b. The provisions of this paragraph shall take effect on the date 90 days after the date of entry of this Judgment.

## **COMPLIANCE**

4. Defendant, and all persons and entities set forth in Paragraph 2 above, are ordered for a period of three (3) years from the date of entry of this Judgment to maintain in effect, for its

stores in California that Defendant wholly owns and operates, a pricing accuracy program designed to promote full compliance with the requirements of California Business and Professions Code sections 12024.2 and 17200, as more specifically provided in paragraphs 5-7 of this Judgment.

- 5. Defendant shall conduct, or cause to be conducted, periodic and unannounced internal audits of the pricing accuracy of its wholly owned and operated retail stores in California. Defendant shall designate an employee, regional manager, or member of the regional director managerial team, with oversight responsibility for Defendant's wholly owned and operated retail stores in California, who shall be responsible for receiving and maintaining copies of the internal audit results related to those stores, and any weights and measures inspection reports or notices relating to Defendant's wholly owned and operated retail stores in California. The employee, regional manager or designee shall also be responsible for ensuring full compliance with all Defendant's pricing accuracy operating procedures throughout California.
- 6. Defendant shall provide Plaintiff the name of and the contact information for the employee, manager or designee specially designated under paragraph 5 above within thirty (30) calendar days of the entry of this Judgment, and shall, during the three-year period provided for in paragraph 4, provide the name and contact information of any person who replaces the manager originally designated, within thirty (30) calendar days of such assignment.
- 7. On March 31 of each calendar year covered by the three-year period provided for in paragraph 4 of this Judgment, Defendant shall provide Plaintiff with a written report summarizing the internal audit activities undertaken and reports prepared during the preceding calendar year to comply with paragraphs 4 and 5 above. The first report, reflecting activities for the period from the date of execution of this Judgment to the end of 2015 shall be due on March 31, 2016. These reports and all other notifications required under this Judgment shall be directed to Deputy District Attorney Stephen M. Spinella, San Diego County District Attorney's Office, 330 W. Broadway, Suite 750, San Diego California, 92101, and said reports shall be inadmissible for any purpose whatsoever in any courtroom whatsoever as these reports constitute subsequent remedial measures and compromise negotiations pursuant to California

Evidence Code sections 1151, 1152, 1153, 1153.5 and 1154, and Federal Rules of Evidence, Rules 407 and 408. Moreover, the parties agree that the substantive information within these reports is also inadmissible for any purpose whatsoever in any courtroom whatsoever. Defendant's own findings cannot be used against Defendant at all, for any purpose.

## **DISCLAIMER OF ADMISSION OF LIABILITY**

8. This Judgment is not to be construed as an admission of liability by any party, or a finding of liability against any party. This Judgment was entered into as a result of a stipulation of the parties, without admissions or findings of fact or law, and without any admission by the Defendant or by any party of liability, wrongdoing, illegality, or of any fact alleged in the Complaint. To the extent permitted by law, all information and communications relating to the negotiations of the settlement reflected in this Judgment shall remain confidential.

22.

## MONETARY RELIEF

- 9. Defendant, or one of its agents acting on its behalf, shall, within thirty (30) days of the date of the entry of this Judgment, make to Plaintiff payments in the total amounts of \$65,000 for Plaintiff's investigation and prosecution costs and \$273,000 as civil penalties pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206. Defendant, or one of its agents acting on its behalf, shall pay the costs and civil penalties provided in this paragraph as follows:
- (a) A check made payable to "San Diego County District Attorney's Office" in the amount of \$100,594 (comprising \$91,000 in civil penalties and \$9,594 in costs);
- (b) A check made payable to "Alameda County District Attorney's Office" in the amount of \$95,595 (comprising \$91,000 in civil penalties and \$4,595 in costs);
- (c) A check made payable to "Merced County District Attorney's Office" in the amount of \$95,595 (comprising \$91,000 in civil penalties and \$4,595 in costs);
- (d) A check made payable to "Consumer Protection Prosecution Trust Fund" in the amount of \$1,216 (as reimbursement for investigative expenditures);
- (e) Checks payable to the following Agricultural Sealers/Departments of Weights and Measures and related agencies (as costs reimbursements) in the amounts specified here:

1		(1) To the County of Alameda, Office of Weights and Measures in the sum of
2		\$336.32;
3		(2) To the Contra Costa County Division of Weights and Measures in the
4		sum of \$504.48;
5	-	(3) To the County of Imperial in the sum of \$1,008.96;
6	,	(4) To the Lassen County Department of Agriculture in the sum of \$672.64;
7		(5) To the County of Los Angeles Department of Weights and Measures in
8		the sum of \$10,425.92;
9	- 2	(6) To the Madera County Department of Weights and Measures in the sum
10		of \$581.17;
11		(7) To the Marin County Department of Weights and Measures in the sum of
12		\$168.16;
13	x 1:	(8) To the Orange County Department of Weights and Measures in the sum
14		of \$168.16;
15		(9) To the County of Riverside Agricultural Commissioner in the sum of
16		\$3,699.52;
17		(10) To the Sacramento County Department of Weights and Measures in the
18		sum of \$168.16;
19		(11) To the San Benito County Department of Weights and Measures in the
20		sum of \$168.16;
21		(12) To the San Bernardino Department of Weights and Measures in the sum
22		of \$6,221.92;
23		(13) To the County of San Diego Department of Agriculture, Weights and
24		Measures in the sum of \$6,894.56;
25		(14) To the San Joaquin County Department of Weights and Measures in the
26		sum of \$168.16;
27		(15) To the San Mateo County Department of Weights and Measures in the
28		sum of \$1,008.96;

1	(16) To the Santa Barbara Department of Weights and Measures in the sum
2	of \$672.64;
3	(17) To the County of Santa Clara Department of Agriculture and
4	Environmental Management in the sum of \$1,513.44;
5	(18) To the Solano County Department of Agriculture in the sum of
6	\$168.16;
7	(19) To the Sonoma County Weights and Measures Division in the sum of
8	\$1,008.96;
9	(20) To the Stanislaus County Department of Agriculture and Weights and
10	Measures in the sum of \$1,513.44;
11	(21) To the California Department of Food & Agriculture in the sum of
12	\$7,535.00;
13	(22) To the California Agricultural Commissioners and Sealers Association
14	in the sum of \$393.11 (as reimbursement of investigative expenses).
15	10. The payments required by this Judgment shall be delivered to Deputy District
16	Attorney Stephen M. Spinella, San Diego County District Attorney's Office, 330 W. Broadway,
17	Suite 750, San Diego, California, 92101.
18	
19	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
20	11. Jurisdiction is retained by the Court for the purpose of enabling any party to this
21	Judgment to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be
22	necessary and appropriate for the construction or carrying out of this Judgment, for the
23	modification thereof, and for the enforcement of compliance with and the punishment of
24	violations of the Judgment.
25	
26	
27	
28	
	7

Dated: JUL 3 1 2015	GREGORY W. POLLACK
July 1	Judge of the Superior Court
	* *

Stipulated Final Judgment