

December 16, 2015

DMS NOTICE P-15-04 DISCARD: RETAIN

TO: WEIGHTS AND MEASURES OFFICIALS

SUBJECT: Settlement – SAFEWAY Inc., a Delaware Corporation

Enclosed is a copy of the Final Judgment and Injunction Pursuant to Stipulation issued against Safeway Inc. The case was filed on November 6, 2015, by the District Attorney's Office for Contra Costa County, San Joaquin County, Solano County, and Kern County for making deceptive, false, and misleading statements, violations of California Business and Professions Code (BPC) sections 13413 and 13532, unfair competition, a violation of BPC section 17200, and false and misleading advertising, a violation of BPC section 17500.

The California Department of Food and Agriculture, Division of Measurement Standards (Department) worked with Contra Costa County, San Joaquin County, Solano County, and Kern County weights and measures officials on this case. The total settlement was for \$400,000.00. Civil penalties amounted to \$280,000.00 to the Contra Costa County, San Joaquin County, Solano County and Kern County District Attorney's Office, Agency costs were \$70,000.00, and restitution costs were \$50,000.00.

Contra Costa County, San Joaquin County, Solano County, and Kern County should be sure to report these penalties on the County Monthly Report.

The Department appreciates the fine work done by Contra Costa County, San Joaquin County, Solano County, and Kern County District Attorney's office along with the state and county investigators that documented and caused to be prosecuted these violations. If you have any questions, please contact Clark Cooney, Chief, Enforcement Branch at (916) 229-3000 or <u>Clark.Cooney@cdfa.ca.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Krishing Many

Kristin J. Macey Director

Enclosure

cc: Gary Leslie, County/State Liaison, CDFA



1 2 3 4 5 6	MARK A. PETERSON, State Bar No. 111961 District Attorney for Contra Costa County GARY E. KOEPPEL, State Bar No. 104596 Deputy District Attorney 900 Ward Street, 4 th Floor Martinez, California 94553 Telephone: (925) 957-8788 (For list of additional Plaintiff's counsel, See attached Exhibit 1) Attorneys for the People of the State of Californ	2015 NOV -6 A 9:59 CLERK OF THE SUPERIC COUNTY OF CONTRACT BY DEPUTY DEVITY OF WEBER
7	Allorneys for the reople of the state of Californ	iu
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY O	DF CONTRA COSTA
10		C15 - 02012
11	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No.:
12		STIPULATED FINAL JUDGMENT
13	Plaintiff,	
14	vs.	
15 16	SAFEWAY INC., a Delaware Corporation,	
17 18	Defendant.	
10 19		
20	It is hereby stipulated by and between Pl	aintiff, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
21	CALIFORNIA, through its attorneys Mark A. P	eterson, Contra Costa County District
22	Attorney, by Gary E. Koeppel, Deputy District	Attorney: Tori Verber Salazar, San Joaquin
23		
24	County District Attorney, by Celeste Kaisch, De	
25	Solano County District Attorney, by Diane New	man, Deputy District Attorney; and Lisa S.
26	Green, Kern County District Attorney, by John	Mitchell, Deputy District Attorney, (hereafter,
27	"Plaintiff") and Defendant SAFEWAY INC., a	Delaware Corporation, (hereafter,

٠

L

1	"Defendant"), through its attorney, Theodore Bell, having consented to the entry of this Final	
2	Judgment without the taking of evidence, without trial or adjudication of any facts herein, and	
3	without this Final Judgment constituting any evidence or admission by said Defendant	
4	regarding any issue of fact alleged in said Complaint, and good cause appearing:	
5 6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDICATED AND DECREED that:	
0 7	1. This action is brought under California law and this court has jurisdiction over	
8		
9	the subject matter hereof and the parties hereto.	
10	INJUNCTION	
10	2. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 17203 and 17536,	
12	Defendant, and its principals, employees, and successors acting under, by, through or on	
13	behalf of Defendant, with actual or constructive notice of this judgment are hereby enjoined	
14	and restrained from violating the provisions of Sections 13413, 13532 and 17500 of the	
15	California Business and Professions Code by advertising a single price for fuel, where dual	
16 17	pricing is used, without informing the consumer in and on the same advertising medium,	
18	of any and all conditions and limitations on the price so advertised.	
19	3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 17203 and 17536, Defendant,	
20	and its principals, employees, and successors acting under, by, through or on behalf of	
21	Defendant, with actual or constructive notice of this judgment are hereby enjoined and	
22	restrained from violating the provisions of Sections 17200 and 17500 of the California	
23	Business and Professions Code by promoting the sale of gift card by offering a special benefit	
24 25	for their purchase, without clearly and conspicuously advising the consumer of any conditions,	
25	limitation, or exclusions associated with the gift card promotion.	
27	Intration, or energicing appointed with the Bill out a promotion	

.

1	MONETARY PROVISIONS	
2	4. Defendant shall pay Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, a total settlement	
3	amount of Four Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$400,000.00), as follows:	
4		
5	<u>Civil Penalties:</u>	
6	5. Defendant shall pay Plaintiff the sum of Two Hundred and Eighty Thousand	
7	Dollars (\$280,000.00) pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17206. Pursuant to	
8	Government Code section 26506, the amount paid pursuant to this paragraph shall be	
9	distributed in the following manner:	
10	(1) Seventy Thousand Dollars (\$70,000.00) by check made payable to the	
11 12	Contra Costa County District Attorney's Office.	
13	(2) Seventy Thousand Dollars (\$70,000.00) by check made payable to the	
14	Treasurer of San Joaquin County.	
15	(3) Seventy Thousand Dollars (\$70,000.00) by check made payable to the	
16	Solano County District Attorney's Office.	
17	(4) Seventy Thousand Dollars (\$70,000.00) by check made payable to the Kern	
18		
19	County District Attorney's Office.	
20	Partial Cost Recovery:	
21	6. Defendant shall pay Plaintiff the sum of Seventy Thousand Dollars (\$70,000.00)	
22	for costs. The amount paid pursuant to this paragraph shall be distributed in the following	
23 24	manner:	
25	(1) Twenty Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00) by check made payable to the	
26	Contra Costa County District Attorney's Office.	
27		

.

Ш

1	(2) Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00) by check made payable to the San	
2	Joaquin County District Attorney's Office.	
3	(3) Six Thousand Seven Hundred and Nine Dollars and Thirty Eight Cents	
4	(\$6,709.38), by check made payable to the Solano County District Attorney's	
5 6	Office.	
7	(4) Six Thousand Seven Hundred and Nine Dollars and Thirty Eight Cents	
8	(\$6,709.38), by check made payable to the Kern County District Attorney's	
9	Office.	
10		
11	(5) Twelve Thousand Eight Hundred and Ninety Four Dollars and Twenty	
12	Three Cents (\$12,894.23) by check made payable to the California Department	
13	of Food and Agriculture Division of Measurement Standards.	
14	(6) Two Thousand Three Hundred and Thirty Eight Dollars and Thirty Cents	
15	(\$2,338.30) by check made payable to the Contra Costa Division of Weights	
16	and Measures.	
17	(7) One Thousand Three Hundred and Forty Eight Dollars and Seventy One	
18 19	Cents (\$1,348.71) by check made payable to the San Joaquin County Division	
20	of Weights and Measures.	
21		
22	7. The above referenced payments, identified in paragraphs 5 and 6, shall be made as	
23	follows: on or before November 13, 2015, Defendant shall deliver all checks required to be	
24	paid pursuant to paragraph 9 of this Stipulated Final Judgment to the Contra Costa County	
25	District Attorney's office, Attention: Gary E. Koeppel, 900 Ward Street, Martinez, California	
26	94553.	
27	////	
-		

۰,

 (\mathbf{k})

i

1

...

Restitution

2	8. The parties having recognized the impracticality of identifying aggrieved consumers
3	who suffered actual loss and the impracticality of providing direct restitution to said
4	consumers, and the disproportionate cost of making restitution to individual consumers would
5 6	far exceed the benefit consumers would gain; thus, pursuant to California Business and
7	Professions Code Section 17203, Defendants shall be given credit for restitution in the amount
8	of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00) for expenditures made in their remedial efforts
	of Filly Thousand Donal's (\$50,000.00) for expenditures made in their remedial efforts
9	addressing the violations alleged by the Plaintiff in the filed complaint.
10 11	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION AND COSTS
11	9. This Stipulated Final Judgment does not constitute an adjudication of the
13	substantive merits of any claim or defense in this case; nor does this Judgment constitute an
14	admission of any liability or wrongdoing by Defendant. By agreeing to this Stipulated Final
15	
16	Judgment, Defendant does not concede any vicarious liability for the acts of its franchisees.
17	10. Jurisdiction is retained for the purpose of enabling any party to this Final Judgment
18	to apply to the court at any time for such further orders or directions as may be necessary or
19	appropriate for carrying out this Final Judgment; for the resolution of any dispute; or for the
20	modification or termination of any of the injunctive provisions herein, for the enforcement of
21	compliance therewith, or for the punishment of violation thereof.
22	
23	11. Reasonable attorney's fees incurred by Plaintiff as a result of any violation of this
24	Stipulated Judgment, if such violation is proven in a court of law by a preponderance of the
25	evidence, shall be recoverable as costs pursuant to California Civil Code of Civil Procedure
26	sections 685.040 and 1033.5(a)(10)(A).
27	

1	EXISTING LAW AND SEVERABILITY	
2	12. Nothing contained herein shall be construed as relieving Defendant of the	
3	obligation to comply with applicable California and Federal laws, regulations and rules, nor	
4	shall any of the provisions of this Stipulated Judgment be deemed permission to engage in any	
6	act or practice prohibited by such laws, regulations or rules.	
7	13. Neither this Judgment nor the Stipulation shall be deemed approval by Plaintiff of	
8	any of Defendant's business practices, and Defendant shall make no representation that	
9	Plaintiff has given such approval.	
10 11	14. Whenever possible each provision of this Stipulated Judgment shall be interpreted	
11	in such a manner as to be effective and valid under applicable law, but if any provision of this	
13	Stipulated Judgment shall be prohibited, void, invalid, or unenforceable under applicable law,	
14	such provision shall be ineffective to the extent of such prohibition, voidability, invalidity or	
15	unenforceability without invalidating the remaining provisions of this Stipulated Judgment,	
16	which shall be given full effect without regard to the invalid provision.	
17 18	EFFECTIVE DATE	
19	15. This Stipulated Final Judgment shall take effect immediately upon entry thereof.	
20	The parties waive their right to appeal this judgment both as to form and content.	
21		
22		
23	Dated: 107 0 5 2015	
24	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
25		
26		
27		
	6 Final Stinulate Judgment	

Ъч

1	<u>Exhibit 1</u>
2	
3	Additional Counsel for the Plaintiff
4	TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney
5	San Joaquin County District Attorney CELESTE KAISCH, SBN. 234174
6	Deputy District Attorney 222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202
7	Stockton, CA 95201
8	Telephone: (209) 468-9614
9	KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney Solano County District Attorney
10	DIANE NEWMAN, SBN. 179926
11	Deputy District Attorney 675 Texas Street, 4 th Floor
12	Fairfield, CA 94533 Telephone: (707) 784-6903
13	
14	LISA S. GREEN, District Attorney Kern County District Attorney
15	JOHN MITCHELL, SBN. 99967 Deputy District Attorney
16	1215 Truxtun Avenue, 4 th Floor
17	Bakersfield, CA 93301 Telephone: (661) 868-1657
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	