STATE OF CALIFORNIA --- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

department name Food and Agriculture	contact person Tony Herrera, Program Manager	EMAIL ADDRESS tony.herrera@cdfa.ca.gov	TELEPHONE NUMBER 916-900-5004
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	<u> </u>	,	NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Shell Egg Food Safety			Z 2019-1227-01
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAC	TS Include calculations and assumptions	in the rulemaking record.	
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate	whether this regulation:		
a. Impacts business and/or employees	e. Imposes reporting requirer	nents	
b. Impacts small businesses	f. Imposes prescriptive instea	d of performance	
c. Impacts jobs or occupations	g. Impacts individuals		
d. Impacts California competitiveness	🗙 h. None of the above (Explain	n below):	
51 1		consistency with H&S Code	section 25991.
	through g is checked, complete this E s checked, complete the Fiscal Impact		
CDFA	(F		
. The(Agency/Department)	estimates that the economic impact of t	his regulation (which includes the fi	scal impact) is:
X Below \$10 million			
Between \$10 and \$25 million	-		
Between \$25 and \$50 million			
Over \$50 million [If the economic impact is	over \$50 million, agencies are required to subi nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]	mit a <u>Standordized Regulatory Impoct</u>	<u>Assessment</u>
. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	13,000 (slightly)		
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpr	ofits): Supermarkets, grocery/conve	nience stores, egg retailers,	handlers & egg farms.
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	11,500		
. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ted:eliminated: 0		
Explain: Prop 12's change in minimum	cage size may create/eliminate bu	isinesses, but CDFA's regula	
		sinesses, succerris regula	tion will not.
i. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: 🔀		Sincesces, successive regula	tion will not.
i. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: 🛛	Statewide		8
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: X			8
Enter the number of jobs created: 0	Statewide Local or regional (List areas): and eliminated: 0		÷
Enter the number of jobs created: 0 Describe the types of jobs or occupations impac	Statewide Local or regional (List areas): and eliminated: 0 tred: Tiny effect on state officials, w	ho are enforcing the current	: 116 sq. in. minimum
5. Enter the number of jobs created: 0	Statewide Local or regional (List areas): and eliminated: 0 tred: Tiny effect on state officials, w	ho are enforcing the current	: 116 sq. in. minimum
Enter the number of jobs created: 0 Describe the types of jobs or occupations impac cage size requirement would switch t	Statewide Local or regional (List areas): and eliminated: 0 ted: Tiny effect on state officials, w to enforcing a different size. Jobs v businesses to compete with	ho are enforcing the current	: 116 sq. in. minimum
Enter the number of jobs created: 0 Describe the types of jobs or occupations impace cage size requirement would switch to Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce	Statewide Local or regional (List areas): and eliminated: 0 tted: Tiny effect on state officials, w to enforcing a different size. Jobs v businesses to compete with e goods or services here?YES	ho are enforcing the current vould be otherwise unaffect	: 116 sq. in. minimum
 Enter the number of jobs created: 0 Describe the types of jobs or occupations impact cage size requirement would switch the solution affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce the solution of the states by making it more costly to produce the solution of the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costl	Statewide Local or regional (List areas): and eliminated: 0 ted: Tiny effect on state officials, w to enforcing a different size. Jobs v businesses to compete with	ho are enforcing the current vould be otherwise unaffect	: 116 sq. in. minimum
 Enter the number of jobs created: 0 Describe the types of jobs or occupations impact cage size requirement would switch the solution affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce the solution of the states by making it more costly to produce the solution of the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costl	Statewide Local or regional (List areas): and eliminated: 0 tted: Tiny effect on state officials, w to enforcing a different size. Jobs v businesses to compete with e goods or services here?YES	ho are enforcing the current vould be otherwise unaffect	: 116 sq. in. minimum
 Enter the number of jobs created: 0 Describe the types of jobs or occupations impact cage size requirement would switch the solution affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce the solution of the states by making it more costly to produce the solution of the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costly to produce the states by making it more costl	Statewide Local or regional (List areas): and eliminated: 0 tted: Tiny effect on state officials, w to enforcing a different size. Jobs v businesses to compete with e goods or services here?YES	ho are enforcing the current vould be otherwise unaffect	: 116 sq. in. minimum _

STATE OF	CALIFORNIA	- DEPARTMENT	OF FINANCE
----------	------------	--------------	------------

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

	ECONOMIC	IMPACT	STATEMENT	(CONTINUED
--	----------	--------	-----------	------------

_	ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)
В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.
1.	What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over its lifetime? \$
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$0 Annual ongoing costs: \$0 Years:
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$0 Annual ongoing costs: \$ 0 Years:
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0 Annual ongoing costs: \$0 Years:
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: The state will notify affect firms in their standard established process.
÷	
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry:
	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted. \$
4. '	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? 🔲 YES 🛛 🔀 NO
	If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$
	Number of units:
5. /	Are there comparable Federal regulations?
I	explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: Mandate of Prop 12, passed by voters.
E	nter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$
_	
C .	ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: None. CDFA has no substantive discretionary latitude
	under Prop 12. CDFA must update H&S Code 25991 to make it consistent with Prop 12.
ż. /	Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 specific statutory requirements, or 🔲 goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?
1	Explain: The specific statutory requirements are established in Prop 12, not determined by CDFA.
3,	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ 0
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from this regulation: None.
	CDFA has no substantive discretionary latitude under Prop 12. CDFA must update H&S Code 25991 to make it
	consistent with Prop 12.
	1
D.	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: None. CDFA has no substantive
	discretionary latitude under Prop 12. CDFA must update H&S Code 25991 to make it consistent with Prop 12.

	EV. 12/2013)		ECON	OMIC IN	IPACT ST	ATEMEN	T (CONTI	NUED)	
2. Summ	arize the tota	al statewide cos			gulation and eac				
Regu	lation: E	Benefit: \$	0	Cost: \$ 0)				4
Alter	native 1: B	enefit: \$	0	Cost: \$ C)				
3. Briefly	discuss any o	quantification is	sues that are re	levant to a co	mparison		cope for alto	ernatives giver	n specificity of law.
regula action	tion manda s or procedu	tes the use of s ures. Were perfo	pecific techno ormance stand	logies or equ lards conside	standards as an ipment, or pres ered to lower co	scribes specific ompliance cos	ts? YES	X NO	
Expla	in:			1					5
E. MAJO		FIONS Include	calculations o	ınd assumpti	ons in the rulen	naking record			
1	C							departments are herwise, skip to	
1. Will th	e estimated	costs of this rea	- I-11 - 1- C-11				_		
		costs of this reg	ulation to Call	fornia busine:	ss enterprises e x	xceed \$10 mil	ion? YES	NO NO	
		costs of this reg	ulation to Call		If YES, comp	lete E2. and			
2. Briefly	describe ead	ch alternative, o	r combination	ofalternative	If YES, comp	<i>lete E2. and</i> skip to E4 ost-effectivene	E3 ss analysis was	performed:	
2. Briefly Alterr	describe ead	ch alternative, o	r combination	ofalternative	<i>If YES, comp</i> <i>If NO, s</i> es, for which a co	<i>lete E2. and</i> skip to E4 ost-effectivene	E3 ss analysis was	performed:	
2. Briefly Alterr Alterr	describe ead ative 1: ative 2:	ch alternative, o	r combination	ofalternative	<i>If YES, comp</i> <i>If NO, s</i> es, for which a co	<i>lete E2. and</i> skip to E4 ost-effectivene	E3 ss analysis was	performed:	
2. Briefly Alterr Alterr (Attac	describe ead ative 1: ative 2: h additional p	ch alternative, o bages for other a	r combination	of alternative	<i>If YES, comp</i> <i>If NO, s</i> es, for which a co	lete E2. and skip to E4 ost-effectivene	E3 ss analysis was	performed:	
2. Briefly Alterr Alterr (Attac 3. For th	describe ead aative 1: aative 2: h additional p e regulation,	ch alternative, o bages for other a , and each altern	r combination ////////////////////////////////////	of alternative	If YES, comp If NO, s es, for which a co the estimated to	lete E2. and skip to E4 ost-effectivene	E3 ss analysis was verall cost-effec	performed:	
2. Briefly Alterr Alterr (Attac 3. For th Regul	describe ead ative 1: ative 2: h additional p e regulation, ation: Tota	ch alternative, o pages for other a , and each altern al Cost \$	r combination <i>Iternatives)</i> native just des	of alternative	If YES, comp If NO, s es, for which a co the estimated to Cost-effective	lete E2. and skip to E4 ost-effectivene otal cost and ov ness ratio: \$	E3 ss analysis was verall cost-effec	performed:	
 2. Briefly Alterr Alterr (Attac. 3. For th Regul Alterr 	describe ead ative 1: ative 2: in additional p e regulation, ation: Tota ative 1: Tota	ch alternative, o bages for other a , and each altern al Cost \$ al Cost \$	r combination <i>Iternatives)</i> native just des	of alternative	If YES, comp If NO, s es, for which a co the estimated to Cost-effective Cost-effective	lete E2. and skip to E4 ost-effectivene otal cost and or ness ratio: \$ ness ratio: \$	E3 ss analysis was verall cost-effec	performed:	
 2. Briefly Alterr Alterr (Attack) 3. For the Regul Alterr Alterr 4. Will the excee 	describe ead ative 1: ative 2: h additional p e regulation, ation: Tota ative 1: Tota ative 2: Tota e regulation s ding \$50 mil	ch alternative, o pages for other a , and each altern al Cost \$ al Cost \$ al Cost \$ subject to OAL r	r combination <i>Iternatives</i>) native just dese review have an nonth period b	of alternative	If YES, comp If NO, s es, for which a co es, for which a co the estimated to Cost-effective Cost-effective Cost-effective conomic impact ate the major re	lete E2. and skip to E4 ost-effectivene otal cost and or ness ratio: \$ ness ratio: \$ ness ratio: \$ to business er	E3 ss analysis was verall cost-effec terprises and in	performed: tiveness ratio:	in or doing business in Califor ary of State through12 month
 2. Briefly Alterr (Attac: 3. For th Regul Alterr Alterr 4. Will the exceet after t 	describe ead ative 1: ative 2: h additional p e regulation, ation: Tota ative 1: Tota ative 2: Tota e regulation s ding \$50 mil	ch alternative, o <i>pages for other a</i> and each altern al Cost \$ al Cost \$ al Cost \$ subject to OAL r lion in any 12-m ulation is estim	r combination <i>Iternatives</i>) native just dese review have an nonth period b	of alternative	If YES, comp If NO, s es, for which a co es, for which a co the estimated to Cost-effective Cost-effective Cost-effective conomic impact ate the major re	lete E2. and skip to E4 ost-effectivene otal cost and or ness ratio: \$ ness ratio: \$ ness ratio: \$ to business er	E3 ss analysis was verall cost-effec terprises and in	performed: tiveness ratio:	
 Briefly Alterr Alterr (Attac: For th Regul Alterr Alterr Will the excee after t Y IfYES, 	describe ead ative 1: ative 2: h additional p e regulation, ation: Tota ative 1: Tota ative 2: Tota e regulation s ding \$50 mill he major reg ES X agencies are	ch alternative, o pages for other a , and each altern al Cost \$ al Cost \$ al Cost \$ subject to OAL r lion in any 12-m ulation is estim NO required to subr	r combination <i>Iternatives)</i> native just desc review have an nonth period b ated to be fully nit a <u>Standardi</u>	of alternative	If YES, comp If NO, s es, for which a co es, for which a co the estimated to Cost-effective Cost-effective Cost-effective conomic impact ate the major re	lete E2. and skip to E4 ost-effectivene otal cost and or ness ratio: \$ ness ratio: \$ ness ratio: \$ to business er egulation is est	E3 ss analysis was verall cost-effec terprises and in imated to be file pecified in	performed: tiveness ratio:	
 2. Briefly Alterr (Attac: 3. For th Regul Alterr Alterr 4. Will the excee after t Y <i>If YES</i>, <i>Gover</i> 5. Briefly 	describe ead ative 1: hative 2: hadditional p e regulation, ation: Tota ative 1: Tota ative 1: Tota ative 2: Tota e regulation s ding \$50 mil he major reg ES X agencies are nment Code s	ch alternative, o pages for other a and each altern al Cost \$ al Cost \$ al Cost \$ subject to OAL r lion in any 12-m julation is estim NO required to subr Section 11346.3(e following:	r combination <i>Iternatives</i>) native just dese native just dese review have an nonth period b ated to be fully nit a <u>Standardi</u> <i>ic) and to includ</i>	of alternative	If YES, comp If NO, s es, for which a co es, for which a co the estimated to Cost-effective Cost-effective Cost-effective Cost-effective conomic impact ate the major re ed? <u>y Impact Assessr</u> the Initial Statem	lete E2. and skip to E4 ost-effectivene ost-effectivene ost-effectivene ostal cost and or ness ratio: \$ ness ratio: \$ to business er egulation is est <u>nent (SRIA)</u> as s nent of Reasons	E3 ss analysis was verall cost-effec terprises and in mated to be file pecified in	performed: tiveness ratio:	
 Briefly Alterr (Attac For th Regul Alterr For th Regul Alterr Will the excee after t Will the excees T Y If YES, Gover Briefly 	describe ead ative 1: hative 2: hadditional p e regulation, ation: Tota ative 1: Tota ative 1: Tota ative 2: Tota e regulation s ding \$50 mil he major reg ES X agencies are nment Code s	ch alternative, o pages for other a and each altern al Cost \$ al Cost \$ al Cost \$ subject to OAL r lion in any 12-m julation is estim NO required to subr Section 11346.3(e following:	r combination <i>Iternatives</i>) native just dese native just dese review have an nonth period b ated to be fully nit a <u>Standardi</u> <i>ic) and to includ</i>	of alternative	If YES, comp If NO, s es, for which a co es, for which a co the estimated to Cost-effective Cost-effective Cost-effective conomic impact ate the major re ed?	lete E2. and skip to E4 ost-effectivene ost-effectivene ost-effectivene ostal cost and or ness ratio: \$ ness ratio: \$ to business er egulation is est <u>nent (SRIA)</u> as s nent of Reasons	E3 ss analysis was verall cost-effec terprises and in mated to be file pecified in	performed: tiveness ratio:	
 Briefly Alterr (Attac. For th Regul Alterr Alterr Will the excee after t Will the rexcee S. Briefly The ir 	describe ead ative 1: hative 2: hadditional p e regulation, ation: Tota ative 1: Tota ative 1: Tota ative 2: Tota e regulation s ding \$50 mill he major reg ES X agencies are nment Code s describe the acrease or de	ch alternative, o bages for other a and each alternative al Cost \$ al Cost \$ subject to OAL r lion in any 12-m julation is estim NO required to subr Section 11346.3(e following: crease of invest	r combination <i>Iternatives</i>) native just dese review have an nonth period b ated to be fully mit a <u>Standardi</u> <i>(c) and to inclue</i> ment in the St	of alternative	If YES, comp If NO, s es, for which a co es, for which a co the estimated to Cost-effective Cost-effective Cost-effective Cost-effective conomic impact ate the major re ed? <u>y Impact Assessr</u> the Initial Statem	lete E2. and skip to E4 ost-effectivene otal cost and or ness ratio: \$ ness ratio: \$ ness ratio: \$ to business er egulation is est <u>ment (SRIA)</u> as s nent of Reasons	E3 ss analysis was verall cost-effec terprises and in imated to be file pecified in Non	performed: tiveness ratio:	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	ISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT <i>irrent year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.</i>	Indicate appropriate boxes 1 t	hrough 6 and attach calculations and ass	umptions of fiscal impact for the
	 Additional expenditures in the current Stat (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the 	e Fiscal Year which are reimbur California Constitution and Se	sable by the State. (Approximate) ctions 17500 et seq. of the Government Co	ode).
	\$			
	a. Funding provided in			
	Budget Act of		, Statutes of	_
	budget her of		, Statutes of	
		Fiscal Year:		
	2. Additional expenditures in the current Stat (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the	California Constitution and Sec	nbursable by the State. (Approximate) ctions 17500 et seq. of the Government Co	ode).
	\$			
	Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursa		information:	
	a. Implements the Federal mandate cont	ained in		
	b. Implements the court mandate set for	th by the		Court.
	Case of:		VS	
	c. Implements a mandate of the people of			
	d. Issued only in response to a specific re			
	Local entity(s) affected:			(9
		Ŷ		
	e. Will be fully financed from the fees, rev	venue, etc. from:		4
	Authorized by Section:	· c	of the	Code;
	f. Provides for savings to each affected u	nit of local government which v	will, at a minimum, offset any additional co	osts to each;
	g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the per	nalty for a new crime or infraction	on contained in	
	3. Annual Savings. (approximate)			
	\$	_		-
	4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation	n makes only technical, non-sub	stantive or clarifying changes to current law	regulations.
\mathbf{X}	5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does i	not affect any local entity or prog	gram.	
	6. Other. Explain		1. ¹	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA --- DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD, 399 (REV, 12/2013)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and a year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	issumptions of fiscal impact for the current
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
It is anticipated that State agencies will:	
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.	
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	a).
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and att impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ach calculations and assumptions of fiscal
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)	
\$	
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.	
4. Other. Explain	
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE
Nathan Johnson, Budget Officer	12/2/19
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sec the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secret highest ranking official in the organization.	tions 6601-6616, and understands ary must have the form signed by the
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE
Dr. Annette Jones, State Veterinarian & Director	12/9/19
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal In	npact Statement in the STD. 399.
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE