. 1			
1	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California		Exempt from filing fee per Gov. Code, § 6103
2	R. MATTHEW WISE Supervising Deputy Attorney General		0-
3	NATASHA SAGGAR SHETH Deputy Attorney General		FILED / ENDORSED
4	State Bar No. 282896 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000		TILLUTENDUNSED
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3818		JUN 16 2023
6	Fax: (415) 703-5480		
7	E-mail: Natasha.Sheth@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondents and Defendants Kare	n	By K. Swift, Deputy Clerk
8	Ross, in her official capacity as Secretary of the California Department of Food and Agriculture,		KAULF
9	Tomás J. Aragón, in his official capacity as Dire of the California Department of Public Health, a		1.000
10	Rob Bonta, in his official capacity as Attorney General of California		
11			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE	OF CALIFORNIA
13	COUNTY OF S	SACRAMI	ENTO
14			
15	CALIFORNIA HISPANIC CHAMBERS	Case No.	34-2021-80003765
	OF COMMERCE; KRUSE & SON, INC.; CALIFORNIA GROCERS		TIPULATION OF ALL
16	ASSOCIATION; CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION; and	PARTIE	S REQUESTING FURTHER D MODIFICATION OF
17	CALIFORNIA RETAILERS	FEBRUA	ARY 2, 2022 JUDGMENT AND
18	ASSOCIATION,	WRITO	F MANDATE
19	Petitioners and Plaintiffs,	Dept:	32
20	٧.	Judge:	The Honorable James Arguelles
21	KAREN ROSS, in her official capacity as	Action Fi	iled: November 10, 2021
22	Secretary of the California Department of Food and Agriculture; TOMÁS J.		
23	ARAGÓN, in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of		
24	Public Health; ROB BONTA, in his official		
25	capacity as Attorney General of the State of California; and ANNE MARIE		
26	SCHUBERT, in her official capacity as the District Attorney of the County of		
27	Sacramento,		
28	Respondents and Defendants.	J	
20		1	

JOINT STIPULATION OF ALL PARTIES REQUESTING FURTHER LIMITED MODIFICATION OF FEBRUARY 2, 2022 JUDGMENT AND WRIT OF MANDATE (34-2021-80003765)

1	JOINT STIPULATION OF ALL PARTIES	
2	Petitioners and Plaintiffs California Hispanic Chambers of Commerce, Kruse & Son, Inc.,	
3	California Grocers Association, California Restaurant Association, and California Retailers	
4	Association, and Respondents and Defendants Karen Ross, in her official capacity as the	
5	Secretary of the California Department of Food and Agriculture, Tomás J. Aragón, in his official	
6	capacity as the Director of the California Department of Public Health, Rob Bonta, in his official	
7	capacity as the Attorney General of the State of California, and Anne Marie Schubert, in her	
8	official capacity as the District Attorney of the County of Sacramento, respectfully submit this	
9	Joint Stipulation of All Parties requesting that the February 2, 2022 Judgment and the	
10	accompanying Prohibitory Writ of Mandate, as modified by the Court's November 28, 2022	
11	Order, be further modified in a limited capacity with respect to noncompliant whole pork meat in	
12	the possession of specified entities as of July 1, 2023, when the existing injunction against	
13	enforcement is set to expire.	
14	As grounds for this Stipulation, the Parties state as follows:	
15	1. Proposition 12 is a statewide statutory initiative measure that establishes standards of	
16	confinement for certain farm animals, including breeding pigs. (See Health & Saf. Code,	
17	§§ 25990 et seq.) Under the measure, the State was required to adopt implementing regulations	
18	by September 1, 2019, and the provisions relating to the confinement of breeding pigs were	
19	scheduled to go into effect on January 1, 2022. (Id., §§ 25991(e)(3), 25993(a).)	
20	2. In late 2021, shortly before the statute's provisions relating to the confinement of	
21	breeding pigs were scheduled to go into effect, the State had not yet adopted implementing	
22	regulations. For that reason, Petitioners filed this action seeking a temporary delay of the	
23	enforcement of Proposition 12's provisions relating to the sale of whole pork meat in California.	
24	3. After briefing and a hearing on January 21, 2022, the Court granted, in part,	
25	Petitioners' request for a prohibitory writ of mandate and declaratory relief, entered a Judgment	
26	on February 2, 2022, and issued a Prohibitory Writ of Mandate on February 24, 2022.	
27	Specifically, the Court "enter[ed] a declaration that the prohibition on intrastate sales of whole	
28	pork meat (whether originating within or outside California) pursuant to Health and Safety Code 2	

1 Sections 25990(b)(2) and 25991(e)(3) is not enforceable until 180 days after final regulations are 2 enacted pursuant to Section 25993(a)" and further "enjoin[ed] Attorney General Bonta, District 3 Attorney S[c]hubert, district attorneys statewide and city attorneys statewide from enforcing the 4 prohibition on intrastate sales of whole pork meat (whether originating within or outside 5 California) pursuant to Health and Safety Code Sections 25990(b)(2) and 25991(e)(3) until 180 6 days after final regulations are enacted pursuant to Section 25993(a)." The Court "denie[d 7 Petitioners'] request for a writ enjoining the same public prosecutors for a longer period of 8 time[.]" (Judgment, ¶ 1; Prohibitory Writ of Mandate at 2.)

9 4. In its Judgment, the Court expressly retained jurisdiction over this matter "to modify
10 the relief granted in light of changing circumstances." (Judgment, ¶ 2.) Correspondingly, the
11 Court included in its Order a provision permitting the parties to return to the Court "for any
12 appropriate adjustment" to the 180-day period. (Feb. 2, 2022 Amended Final Order at 10.)

After this Court ruled, the United States Supreme Court granted certiorari in National
 Pork Producers Council v. Ross (March 28, 2022) 142 S. Ct. 1413, to determine whether
 petitioners National Pork Producers Council and American Farm Bureau Federation stated a
 claim that Proposition 12 violates the dormant Commerce Clause of the United States
 Constitution.

The State adopted final regulations implementing Proposition 12 on September 1,
 2022. (See Cal. Code Regs., tit. 3, §§ 1320-1327.3.) Those regulations took immediate effect,
 thereby triggering the start of the remaining 180 days of the injunction against enforcement that
 the Court granted in its Judgment and the Prohibitory Writ of Mandate. Accordingly, the
 injunction against enforcement was due to expire on February 28, 2023.

7. Whereas the injunction against enforcement was due to expire on February 28, 2023,
and whereas National Pork Producers Council v. Ross was still pending before the U.S. Supreme
Court and could have resulted in a decision that would generate controlling law that could have
impacted Proposition 12's implementation and enforcement, the parties stipulated to an extension
of the Court's initial injunction, from February 28, 2023 to July 1, 2023. The Court entered an
order reflecting the parties' stipulation on November 28, 2022, and continued to retain

jurisdiction over this matter to further modify the relief granted in light of changing
 circumstances.

8. The State has appealed the Court's decision in this matter, filing a Notice of Appeal
 on February 18, 2022, and initiating Case No. C095799 in the Third District Court of Appeal.
 However, on November 7, 2022, prior to filing any briefing on the merits, the State filed a request
 with the Court of Appeal asking that the appeal in this case be held in abeyance, which the
 Petitioners did not oppose. The Court of Appeal granted the State's request, in part, staying
 briefing in the matter until February 28, 2023, with a status update due to the Court by February
 1, 2023.

9. The State requested, and was granted, two additional stays in its pending appeal in the
 Third District Court of Appeal while National Pork Producers Council v. Ross was pending in
 the U.S. Supreme Court. At present, a status update is due to the Court on June 15, 2023, and
 briefing is due on July 3, 2023.

14 10. On May 11, 2023, the U.S. Supreme Court issued its decision in *National Pork*15 *Producers Council v. Ross*, upholding the constitutionality of Proposition 12.

16 11. The declaratory and injunctive relief against enforcement of the prohibition on
17 intrastate sales of whole pork meat pursuant to Health and Safety Code Sections 25990(b)(2) and
18 25991(e)(3), as imposed by the Court in its February 2, 2022, Judgment and Prohibitory Writ of
19 Mandate, and as modified by the Court's November 28, 2022 Order, is set to expire on July 1,
20 2023.

12. Following the U.S. Supreme Court's decision in *National Pork Producers Council v. Ross*, the California Department of Food and Agriculture (CDFA)'s Animal Care Program issued
a guidance document entitled: *Guidance: Questions and Answers Related to Pork Sales in the Wake of the 2023 Supreme Court Decision*.¹ In that document, CDFA explained that, with
respect to noncompliant whole pork meat in inventory that was purchased prior to July 1, 2023, it

¹ Available at: <<u>https://www.cdfa.ca.gov/AHFSS/AnimalCare/docs/sales</u> wakeofsupremecourt_decision.pdf> (as of June 13, 2023).

28

1	did not intend to focus its limited implementation resources in the remainder of 2023 on covered		
2	products already in commerce:		
3	What do I do with noncompliant whole pork meat in inventory that was		
4	purchased prior to July 1, 2023?		
5	We recognize that current inventory is transient and as purchases of compliant products begin to be made after July 1, 2023, pork products in current stocks will eventually be cleared from freezers and retail stores in California. CDFA understands		
6	that there will necessarily be a period of transition.	CDFA reiterates that for the	
7	¹ covered products arready in commerce, but famer (on 1) outreach to ensure that all	
8	g contrying agoing so that when third-party contribut	ion is required for producers and	
9	distributor registrations beginning on January 1, 2024, producers and distributors have more options; and 3) certification of producers and distributors.		
10	In accordance with CDFA's guidance, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND		
11	AGREE AS FOLLOWS:		
12	1. The declaratory and injunctive relief imposed	by the Court in its February 2, 2022	
13	Judgment and Prohibitory Writ of Mandate, as modified by the Court's November 28, 2022		
14	Order, will expire on July 1, 2023, except with respect to noncompliant whole pork meat that:		
15	a. as of July 1, 2023, is in the possession	of an "end user" (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 3,	
16	§ 1322, subd. (o)) or a "pork distributor	r" (<i>id.</i> , subd. (t)) or on the premises of an	
17	establishment at which mandatory insp	ection is provided under the Federal	
18	Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. Sec. 60	I et seq.) and that holds an	
19	establishment number (prefix "M") granted by the Food Safety Inspection		
20	Service of the United States Department of Agriculture ("federally-inspected		
21	entity");		
22	b. is self-certified by the end user, pork di	stributor, or other federally-inspected	
23	entity to have been in their possession of	or was in the possession of another end	
24	user, pork distributor, or other federally	v-inspected entity as of July 1, 2023; and	
25	c. is ultimately sold, transferred, exported	, or donated on or before December 31,	
26	2023.		
27	2. The stipulated injunction shall terminate, and	the case shall be dismissed, with	
28	prejudice, at 11:59 p.m. on December 31, 2023, without	further order of the court.	

1	3. Plaintiffs affirmatively waive any r	ight to seek further relief against enforcement of
2	Proposition 12 in this case.	
3	Dated: June 15, 2023	Respectfully Submitted,
4		ROB BONTA
5		Attorney General of California R. MATTHEW WISE Supervising Deputy Attorney General
6		
7		By: Margy On
8		Natasha Saggar Sheth Deputy Attorney General
9		Attorneys for State Respondents and Defendants
10 11		
12	Dated: June <u>15</u> , 2023	OLSON REMCHO, LLP
13		By: A
14		Thomas A. Willis Attorneys for Petitioners and Plaintiffs
15		
16	Dated: June, 2023	OFFICE OF THE SACRAMENTO
17		COUNTY COUNSEL
18		By: Krista Whitman
19		Assistant County Counsel Attorneys for Respondent and Defendant
20		Anne Marie Schubert
21		
22 23		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		6
		6

JOINT STIPULATION OF ALL PARTIES REQUESTING FURTHER LIMITED MODIFICATION OF FEBRUARY 2, 2022 JUDGMENT AND WRIT OF MANDATE (34-2021-80003765)

1	3. Plaintiffs affirmatively waive	any right to seek further relief against enforcement of
2	Proposition 12 in this case.	
3	Dated: June 15, 2023	Respectfully Submitted,
4		ROB BONTA
5		Attorney General of California R. MATTHEW WISE
6		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
7		By: Margy On
8		Natasha Saggar Sheth Deputy Attorney General
9		Attorneys for State Respondents and Defendants
10		
11	Dated: June , 2023	OLSON REMCHO, LLP
12	,	
13		By: Thomas A. Willis
14		Attorneys for Petitioners and Plaintiffs
15		
16 17	Dated: June <u>16</u> , 2023	OFFICE OF THE SACRAMENTO COUNTY COUNSEL
18		By Quera & Pausa Mar Mana, for
19		By Quere & Powells-Mays for Krista Whitman Assistant County Counsel
20		Attorneys for Respondent and Defendant Anne Marie Schubert
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		6

JOINT STIPULATION OF ALL PARTIES REQUESTING FURTHER LIMITED MODIFICATION OF FEBRUARY 2, 2022 JUDGMENT AND WRIT OF MANDATE (34-2021-80003765)

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
2	Based on the foregoing, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, in		
3	accordance with the parties' stipulation set forth above:		
4	1. The declaratory and injunctive relief imposed by the Court in its February 2, 2022		
5	Judgment and Prohibitory Writ of Mandate, as modified by the Court's November		
6	28, 2022 Order, will expire on July 1, 2023, except with respect to noncompliant		
7	whole pork meat that:		
8	a. as of July 1, 2023, is in the possession of an "end user" (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 3,		
9	§ 1322, subd. (o)) or a "pork distributor" (id., subd. (t)) or on the premises of an		
10	establishment at which mandatory inspection is provided under the Federal		
11	Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. Sec. 601 et seq.) and that holds an		
12	establishment number (prefix "M") granted by the Food Safety Inspection		
13	Service of the United States Department of Agriculture ("federally-inspected		
14	entity");		
15	b. is self-certified by the end user, pork distributor, or other federally-inspected		
16	entity to have been in their possession or was in the possession of another end		
17	user, pork distributor, or other federally-inspected entity as of July 1, 2023; and		
18	c. is ultimately sold, transferred, exported, or donated on or before December 31,		
19	2023.		
20	2. The stipulated injunction shall terminate, and the case shall be dismissed, with		
21	prejudice, at 11:59 p.m. on December 31, 2023, without further order of the court.		
22	3. Plaintiffs affirmatively waive any right to seek further relief against enforcement of		
23	Proposition 12 in this case.		
24	SORNIA OTAN		
25	DATED: 16 WIDOS		
26	THE HON. JAMES P. ARGUELLES JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
27	and the second sec		
28	7		

FEBRUARY 2, 2022 JUDGMENT AND WRIT OF MANDATE (34-2021-80003765)

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY E-MAIL

Case Name:California Hispanic Chambers of Commerce, et al. v. Karen Ross, et al.Case No.:34-2021-80003765

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter.

On June 16, 2023, I served the attached JOINT STIPULATION OF ALL PARTIES REQUESTING FURTHER LIMITED MODIFICATION OF FEBRUARY 2, 2022 JUDGMENT AND WRIT OF MANDATE by transmitting a true copy via electronic mail. In addition, I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, in the internal mail system of the Office of the Attorney General, addressed as follows:

Thomas A. Willis, Esq. Karen Getman, Esq. Aaron D. Silva, Esq. Deborah B. Caplan, Esq. OLSON REMCHO, LLP Email: twillis@olsonremcho.com kgetman@olsonremcho.com asilva@olsonremcho.com Krista Whitman June Powells-Mays Office of Sacramento County Counsel Email: whitmank@saccountv.net maysj@saccounty.gov

Attorneys for Respondent Anne Marie Schubert, District Attorney of the County of Sacramento

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 16, 2023, at San Francisco, California.

M. Mendiola Declarant

<u>ll. Mendida.</u> Signature

SA2021305788 43761051.docx