

February 13, 2017

**DMS NOTICE** QC - 17 - 01 **DISCARD: RETAIN** 

TO: WEIGHTS AND MEASURES OFFICIALS

**SUBJECT:** Settlement – General Mills, Inc., a Delaware Corporation

Enclosed is a copy of the Final Judgment issued against General Mills, Inc., a Delaware Corporation. The case was filed on January 3, 2017, by the Yolo County District Attorney in conjunction with the Santa Cruz County District Attorney and the Fresno County District Attorney for deceptive packaging, in violation of California Business and Professions Code Sections 12602, 12606.2 (b), 12606.2(c), 12609, and 12611.

The California Department of Food and Agriculture, Division of Measurement Standards, worked with investigators from the District Attorney's offices on this case. The total settlement was for \$375,000. Civil penalties amounted to \$315,000, agency costs were \$50,000, and cy pres in the amount of \$10,000 was placed in the Consumer Protection Prosecution Trust Fund.

Yolo County needs to report these penalties on the County Monthly Report along with their individual investigative cost reimbursements in the appropriate columns.

The Department sincerely appreciates the work performed by the District Attorneys' offices along with the state and county investigators that documented and caused to be prosecuted these violations. If you have any questions, please contact Katherine de Contreras, Supervising Special Investigator, Enforcement Branch at (916) 229-3047, or katherine.decontreras@cdfa.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Kristin Macey Director

Kristing Many

Enclosure

cc: Gary Leslie, County/State Liaison, CDFA



JEFF W. REISIG, Yolo County District Attorney 1 LARRY BARLLY, SBN 114456 RECEIVED Supervising Deputy District Attorney, Yolo County District Attorney's Office JAN 0 4 2016 3 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 Yolo County District Attorney Phone: (530) 666-8180 4 Fax: (530) 666-8185 5 JEFFREY S. ROSELL, Santa Cruz District Attorney 6 EDWARD T. BROWNE, SBN 167638 Assistant District Attorney FILED 7 Santa Cruz District Attorney's Office YOLO SUPERIOR COURT 701 Ocean Street, Suite 200 8 Santa Cruz, California 95060 JAN 0 3 2017 Telephone: (830) 454-2547 9 Attorneys for the People 10 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 COUNTY OF YOLO 13 CASE NO. CULL- 2178 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF 14 CALIFORNIA. 15 Plaintiff, 16 ٧. FINAL JUDGMENT 17 GENERAL MILLS, INC., a Delaware Corporation, 18 Defendant. 19 Plaintiff, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, having filed its complaint herein, 20 21 through their attorneys, JEFF REISIG, District Attorney of Yolo County, by LARRY BARLLY, Supervising Deputy District Attorney, and JEFFREY S. ROSELL, District Attorney of Santa Cruz 22 County, by EDWARD T. BROWNE, Assistant District Attorney; and ARNOLD & PORTER LLP, by 23 TRENTON H. NORRIS, a licensed California attorney for defendant General Mills, Inc., a Delaware 24 corporation, having stipulated that this Final Judgment can be entered without the taking of proof, 25 without this stipulated Final Judgment constituting any evidence or any admission by defendant 26 regarding any issue of fact alleged in the Complaint, and without Defendant admitting or denying any 27 liability to any allegation in the Complaint. This court having considered the pleadings and the 28 Page 1 of 5

Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment, and good cause appearing therefore;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

### **JURISDICTION**

1. This court has jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and the parties hereto.

## APPLICABILITY

- 2. This Judgment is applicable to each variation of the Defendant's Fiber One streusel and lemon brownie products including but not limited to: Fiber One Streusel Bar Strawberry, Fiber One Streusel Bar Blueberry, Fiber One Lemon Bar, and any successors or variations of those products (the "Fiber One Products").
- 3. This Final Judgment is applicable to Defendant and its officers, representatives, successors, assignees, and all persons, partnerships, corporations, and other entities acting under, by, through, on behalf of, or in concert with Defendant, with actual or constructive knowledge of this Final Judgment. This Final Judgment is also applicable to any and all subsidiaries of the Defendant and its respective officers, representatives, successors, assignees and all persons, partnerships, corporations, and other entities acting under, by, through, on behalf of, or in concert with any such subsidiary with actual or constructive knowledge of this Final Judgment.

#### INJUNCTION

4. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17203 and 17535, defendant, and its successor(s), officers, employees, agents, representatives, and all persons acting in concert or participation with any of them, with actual or constructive notice of this Final Judgment, are permanently enjoined and restrained from packaging its Fiber One Products, as described in paragraph 2, above, in containers made, formed or filled as to be misleading to consumers, in violation of California Business and Professions Code sections 12602, 12606.2(b), 12606.2(c), 12609, 12611, 17200 and 17500.

#### COMPLIANCE

5. Defendant shall use good faith efforts to make available and fully and clearly explain the injunctive language of this Final Judgment, including the terms and conditions thereof, to each

of its officers, employees, contractors and any persons or entities responsible for the design of packaging of goods offered for sale to California consumers.

6. Defendant has already initiated changes to its packaging, which require multiple steps, time for implementation, and time for sell-through of existing product in inventory of Defendant and retailers of the Fiber One Products. Within six months of entry of this Final Judgment, Defendant will begin shipping for sale in California Fiber One Products whose packaging has been modified to comply with paragraph 4, above, such that, within one year thereafter, Defendant shall not ship for sale in California any Fiber One Products whose packaging does not comply with paragraph 4, above.

# **MONETARY PROVISIONS**

- 7. **Settlement Amount.** Defendant shall pay a total of \$375,000.00 in settlement of this matter as set forth below and pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 12015.5, 17206 and 17536:
  - (a) Costs. Defendant shall pay the People for investigative and prosecution costs the sum of fifty thousand dollars (\$50,00.00) which shall be made payable in four separate checks as follows:

California Department of Food and Agriculture	\$5,000.00
Office of the Santa Cruz County District Attorney	\$10,000.00
Office of the Yolo County District Attorney	\$15,000.00
Office of the Fresno County District Attorney	\$20,000.00
Total Costs	\$50,000.00

- (b) Civil Penalties. Defendant is hereby ordered, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17207, 17536, 17503 and 17535, to pay a civil penalty of three hundred fifteen thousand dollars (\$315,000.00) which shall be distributed to the prosecuting agencies bringing this action pursuant to Government Code section 26506 as follows:
  - One check shall be made payable to the Office of the Santa Cruz
     County District Attorney in the amount of one hundred fifty
     Page 3 of 5

thousand dollars (\$150,000.00);

- 2. One check shall be made payable to the Office of the Yolo County District Attorney's Office in the amount of one hundred sixty-five thousand dollars (\$165,000.00);
- (c) Cy Pres Restitution. One check in the sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) payable to the Consumer Protection Prosecution Trust Fund, established in the case of *People v. ITT Consumer Financial Corporation* (Alameda Superior Court Case No 656038-0).
- (d) **Delivery of Settlement Funds.** Delivery of the full amount as set forth in subsections (a), (b), and (c) above shall be made prior to the presentation of this Final Judgment to the Court and is to be held pending Court approval of this settlement. All settlement funds shall be delivered to Larry Barlly, Office of the Yolo County District Attorney, 301 Second Street, Woodland, CA 95695.
- 8. The failure of the People to enforce any provision of this Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor shall it in any way affect the validity of this Final Judgment. The failure of the People to enforce any provision shall not preclude it from later enforcing the same or other provisions of this Final Judgment.
- 9. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10. The language used for the obligations set forth in the Final Judgment are solely for the purposes of settlement and compromise and are in no way intended to be an alteration of California law in any other action. If an ambiguity arises regarding any provision of this Final Judgment that requires interpretation, there is no presumption that the document should be interpreted against any party. The presumption set forth in California Civil Code section 1654 is not applicable.
- 11. Jurisdiction is retained for the purpose of enabling any party to the Stipulated Final Judgment to apply to the Court for such further orders and directions as may be necessary and Page 4 of 5