FREP/WPHA Nutrient Management Conference
Poster Presentation Guidelines and Session Details

When: Tuesday Oct. 29, 2019 (4:45 to 6:30 pm)
Where: Salon B at DoubleTree by Hilton, Fresno, CA

Each poster presenter will be provided with a board and easel as well as push pins. Poster size must not exceed 3 x 4 feet.

Authors are responsible for mounting their posters in the afternoon of Tuesday, October 29, and removing them as soon as the session ends. Authors must be present during the poster session. Authors may wish to bring extra copies of their data and conclusions.

Posters must include the following sections:

1. Title
2. Authors’ full names and affiliations
3. Introduction: Briefly explain the rationale, questions/concerns that the research is trying to address/solve, background, hypothesis, and objectives. Using bullet points and avoiding wordy paragraphs are encouraged.
4. Methods: Briefly explain the methodology and data analysis methods
5. Results: Highlight the key findings of the research by citing relevant figures/tables. Make sure figures/tables clearly convey intended information. Avoid overcrowding poster with graphics, tables, and long text.
6. Conclusion: Make sure conclusions are supported by results.
7. References (if applicable)
8. Acknowledgment (optional)
Poster Competition Evaluation Criteria

If authors are participating in the poster competition, consider the following criteria.

1. **Appearance**: Balanced components of the poster across the space, engaging title, attractive layout, legible font size for text, tables, and graphics; well-designed tables and figures, clear and relevant photographs.

2. **Content**: Components of the posters should be presented in a logical flow and easy to read and understand. Posters must present scientific subjects relevant to the conference theme.

3. **Presentation**: Physical presence of the presenter, interaction with poster viewers and judges.

4. **Impact**: Potential to make a novel contribution to the field, resolve existing problems.

### Poster Scoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abstract</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Less than 350 words, informative, and includes five main components: rationale, objectives, methods, results, and conclusion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Includes significance/importance of research, rationale, background and objectives. Should be well-written (grammar).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Necessary methods included but not limited to location, year, soil type, replications, sampling/measurement procedure and statistical approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Highlights important and key findings of the study; easy to read and understand; cites relevant figures, tables, images. All graphics and tables stand alone with caption, title, legends, etc. Should have appropriate grammar and avoid wordy text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Clear take-home message and possible recommendation(s) supported by the research results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Engaging title and layout; appropriate font size readable from a distance; and well-prepared figures, tables, images, and subtitles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenter</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Interaction with poster viewers and judges including volume, confidence, enthusiasm and response to questions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>